arXiv:0811.3358v2 [math.SG] 2 Jul 2009

AREA DEPENDENCE IN GAUGED GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY

EDUARDO GONZALEZ AND CHRIS WOODWARD

Abstract. We prove a wall-crossing formula for the variation of gauged Gromov-Witten invariants of a smooth projective G-variety (with fixed domain curve) with respect to the natural stability condition defined by the area of the curve. As an application, we prove a gauged version of the *abelianization (or quantum Martin) conjecture* of Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, and Kim [8], which relates Gromov-Witten invariants of geometric invariant theory quotients by a group and its maximal torus. This is part of the *quantum non-abelian localization conjecture* described in [70].

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background on wall-crossing 5
3. Equivariant Gromov-Witten theory 11
4. Gauged Gromov-Witten theory 16
5. Area dependence 36
6. Abelianization 46
References 47

1. Introduction

For any smooth projective variety X and class $d \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ let $\overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d)$ denote the moduli space of n-pointed, homology class d, genus g stable maps to X, equipped with *evaluation maps*

$$\mathrm{ev} = (\mathrm{ev}_1,\ldots,\mathrm{ev}_n) : \overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d) \to X^n$$

and for $2g+n \geq 3$ a *forgetful map*

$$f : \overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d) \to \overline{M}_{g,n}.$$

For any cohomology classes $\alpha \in H(X,\mathbb{Q})^n$ integration over X using the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d)] \in H(\overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d))$ defines a *Gromov-Witten invariant*

$$\langle \alpha;\beta\rangle_{g,d} = \int_{[\overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d)]} \mathrm{ev}^*\alpha \wedge f^*\beta \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Date: 6-15-2009.

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS060509.

Let G be a reductive group with maximal compact K, and X a smooth projective G-variety. A G-equivariant version of Gromov-Witten invariants with target X was introduced by Givental [22], by equivariant integration over the same moduli spaces. The resulting invariants are maps

$$\langle \cdot ; \cdot \rangle_{g,d} : H_G(X,\mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_{g,n},\mathbb{Q}) \to H_G(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Q});$$

see [27] for references, and [43] for the symplectic approach in the semipositive case.

By gauged Gromov-Witten theory we mean the more sophisticated version of equivariant Gromov-Witten theory in which one studies holomorphic maps of curves to $X_G = X \times_G EG$. There are algebraic and symplectic approaches to defining invariants rigorously.

From the algebraic point of view, a holomorphic map to X_G corresponds to a holomorphic map to BG, that is, a holomorphic G-bundle P over a curve Σ, together with a section of the associated X-bundle $P(X) := (P \times X)/G$. Such a datum is by definition a map to the quotient stack X/G, and there is a good moduli space for such maps that satisfy a stability condition introduced by Mundet [49].

From the symplectic point of view, such pairs correspond to pairs (A,u) consisting of a connection A on a smooth principal K-bundle, still denoted P, together with a holomorphic section u of the associated fiber bundle $P(X)$. The space of pairs (A,u) has a natural Hamiltonian action of the group of gauge transformations $\mathcal{K}(P)$ with moment map depending on a choice of two-form $\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$ and an invariant inner product $(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k} \to \mathbb{R}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, inducing an identification $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{k}^*$. Let $\Phi : X \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ be a moment map, and $P(\Phi) : P(X) \to P(\mathfrak{k})$ the map induced by the moment map and metric. The symplectic quotient of the space of pairs above is the *moduli space of symplectic vortices*

$$M(P,X)_\epsilon = \{(A,u), \quad F_A + \epsilon^{-1} u^* P(\Phi) \,\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma = 0\}/\mathcal{K}(P). \tag{1}$$

and has been studied by Cieliebak, Gaio, Mundet i Riera, and Salamon [11], [21], [10]. Mundet's result [49] shows that it is equivalent to the algebraic point of view involving the stability condition, at least in the absence of infinite automorphism groups. Let $M(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon$ denote the union of $M(P,X)_\epsilon$ over topological types of principal G-bundles $P \to \Sigma$, and by $M(\Sigma,X,d)_\epsilon$ the subset of (equivariant) homology class $d \in H_2^G(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Each $M(\Sigma,X,d)_\epsilon$ admits a natural Gromov compactification $\overline{M}(\Sigma,X,d)_\epsilon$ of *polystable vortices* by allowing u to develop bubbles in the fibers of $P(X)$. Let $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon$ denote the moduli space of vortices with marked points varying on the curve. The moduli space (or rather, the moduli stack; for simplicity we do not distinguish in the introduction) admits an *evaluation morphism*

$$\mathrm{ev} : \overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon \to X_G^n$$

and a *forgetful morphism*

$$f : \overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon \to \overline{M}_n(\Sigma)$$

to the moduli space $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma) = \overline{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma,1)$ of stable n-marked curves with parametrized principal component Σ.

Integrating pull-back classes over the moduli space leads to *gauged Gromov-Witten invariants*

$$\langle \cdot ; \cdot \rangle_{d,\epsilon} : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$$

where $d \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the degree, that is, the homology class of the section u considered as a map to $(X \times EG)/G$. In the algebraic setting, this integration uses the machinery of Behrend-Fantechi, while in the symplectic setting, this defines the invariants only under suitable transversality hypotheses. These invariants are the natural generalizations to projective X of those defined for linear actions by integration over the toric map spaces in Givental, as follows from the thesis of Jan Wehrheim [67]. We remark that other authors [33], [19] have introduced invariants which depend on a cohomology class on the moduli space of curves; from our point view the stability condition, and hence the invariants, depend on a choice of particular curve with area form.

The gauged Gromov-Witten invariants have two interesting limits in which the area of the surface tends to infinity $\epsilon \to 0$ or zero $\epsilon \to \infty$. The first limit, in which the moment map is forced to vanish, has been studied by Gaio and Salamon [21] and is related to the Gromov-Witten invariants of a symplectic quotient $X /\!\!/ G$. In the second limit, the curvature is forced to vanish and hence, in genus zero, the bundle must be trivial. This limit is related (in genus zero) to the G-equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants of X. More precisely, our main proposal is that the first limit is a composition (in a homotopical sense) of a morphism of cohomological field theories with the trace associated to the correlators on the quotient, while the second is given by the invariant part of the usual equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants.

The purpose of this paper is to study what happens in between, that is, the variation of the moduli space of symplectic vortices with respect to the vortex parameter ϵ, for finite, non-zero values of ϵ. This can be treated as a variation of stability condition, in the geometric invariant theory language, or equivalently in the symplectic language, variation of the moment map. In this general setting, a wall-crossing formula of Kalkman [36] expresses the difference between the integrals as the form is varied as a sum of residues of fixed point contributions. The idea is to construct a *master space* equipped with a Hamiltonian circle action whose various reductions give the desired spaces. In this case, the relevant moduli space is that of *polarized vortices*, by which we mean solutions to the vortex equation equipped with lifts to the fiber of the Chern-Simons line bundle. Of course, we would like the moduli space of polarized vortices to have at worst orbifold singularities; in order for this to happen it suffices that the variety X satisfy a genericity condition which implies that any polystable polarized vortex is stable. Our formula expresses the difference between gauged Gromov-Witten invariants for two different vortex parameter as a sum of residues over fixed point contributions arising from reducible vortices with vortex parameter between ϵ_1, ϵ_2. These can be treated as vortices for a smaller structure group G_ζ given as the centralizer of a one-parameter subgroup $U(1)_\zeta$ generated by $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}$, with target the fixed point set X^ζ. (However, the sphere bubbles lie in X, not in X^ζ.) The resulting twisted invariants are denoted

$$\langle \cdot ; \cdot \rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{\zeta, d_\zeta, \epsilon} : H_{G_\zeta}(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$$

where (abusing notation slightly) $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] = H_{U(1)_\zeta}(\mathrm{pt})$ and $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$ is its localization. The main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.0.1. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective G-variety, and ϵ_1, ϵ_2 be constants such that every ϵ_j-vortex, $j = 1, 2$ and every polystable polarized vortex have finite automorphism group. Then*

$$\langle \alpha ; \beta \rangle_{d, \epsilon_2} - \langle \alpha ; \beta \rangle_{d, \epsilon_1} = \sum_{[\zeta]} \sum_{d_\zeta \mapsto d} \sum_{\epsilon \in (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)} \frac{|W_\zeta|}{|W|} \operatorname{Res}_\zeta \langle \alpha ; \beta \rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{\zeta, d_\zeta, \epsilon}.$$

The first sum is over one-parameter subgroups generated by $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}$, d_ζ ranges over lifts of d to $H_2^{G_\zeta}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, Res_ζ denotes the residue at zero, and W_ζ denotes the stabilizer of ζ.

The assumption of finite automorphism groups is a sort of genericity condition, similar to the assumption $X/\!\!/G$ has only finitely quotient singularities. The fixed point contributions themselves are rather complicated, of the type appearing in Kontsevich's description [40] of fixed point sets for the torus action on the moduli space of stable maps. However, one can still extract some information from them, as we will see below in our application. Wall-crossing formulas for different variations of the vortex equations (namely, variation of the moment map by a central constant) are proved in Cieliebak-Salamon [13] and Wehrheim [67]. It would be interesting to prove a wall-crossing formula which describes the behavior of the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants under all possible deformations of the equivariant symplectic class.

Our motivation for the wall-crossing formula above is the *abelianization conjecture* of Bertram et al [8] which generalizes one of Martin [44] to the "quantum" (i.e. Gromov-Witten) setting. Namely, the conjecture relates Gromov-Witten invariants for the action of G and twisted invariants for the action of a maximal torus T. The formula of [8] was motivated by a conjecture of Hori and Vafa [31, Appendix] relating Gromov-Witten invariants of the Grassmannian with those of products of projective spaces; this case is proved in [8], and used to compute genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants of Grassmannians. We prove the following gauged version of abelianization:

Corollary 1.0.2. *Let X as above, and Σ a genus zero curve. Let $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ be such that the moduli spaces $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X, G)_\epsilon$ and $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X, T)_\epsilon$ consist of regular stable vortices only, and every polarized vortex has finite automorphism group. For any $d_G \in H_2^G(X)$, $\alpha \in H_G(X)^n, \beta \in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma))$, we have*

$$\langle \alpha ; \beta \rangle_{G, \epsilon, d_G} = |W|^{-1} \sum_{d_T \mapsto d_G} \langle \alpha ; \beta \rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{T, \epsilon, d_T}$$

where the twist is by the index bundle associated to the T-representation $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$.

The idea of proof of abelianization is to show first that the abelianization holds in the chamber of "small area" (either because the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants vanish, as in the case considered in this paper, or more generally by Martin's original argument, as we discuss in [24].) We can then prove the formula for all ϵ by the "method of continuity" and induction on the dimension of the group, since the wall-crossing terms are given by gauged Gromov-Witten invariants with smaller structure group. We explain elsewhere [70] how this formula should relate to original conjecture of [8] (including higher quantum Kirwan corrections) at least in genus zero; the higher genus case discussed in [14] remains mysterious. We should mention that a different method for proving abelianization might be to develop a complete type stratification for the moduli stack of gauged maps, and use it to study the pairings as in [63]; we hope to return to the question of type stratification elsewhere.

We thank A. Ott and F. Ziltener for helpful discussions.

2. Background on wall-crossing

In this section we review the wall-crossing formula of Kalkman [36] (see also Guillemin-Kalkman [28, 5.3] and Ellingsrud-Göttsche [20]), describing how cohomology pairings on symplectic quotients vary as one varies the moment map by a constant. Results of this sort are by now ubiquitous in gauge theory and many variations have been discovered by many authors, see Kiem-Li [38] for further references.

2.1. **Equivariant cohomology and localization.**

In this section we review basic facts about equivariant cohomology. Let K be a compact, connected group and $EK \to BK$ the universal bundle. Let X be a K-space, say with the homotopy type of a $K-CW$ complex, we denote by $X_K = (X \times EK)/K$ the *homotopy quotient* of X, and by $H_K(X) := H(X_K)$ the *equivariant cohomology* of X. The following properties of equivariant cohomology are well-known:

(a) If X has a free K-action then the projection $X_K \to X/K$ has contractible fiber EK and pull-back $X_K \to X/K$ induces an isomorphism $H_K(X) \cong H(X/K)$.
(b) If K' is a normal subgroup and K' acts freely making X into a principal K'-bundle then the projection $X_K \to (X/K')_{K/K'}$ has contractible fiber and pull-back induces an isomorphism $H_K(X) \cong H_{K/K'}(X/K')$.
(c) If the action of K' is only locally free (i.e. finite stabilizers) then the projection $X_K \to (X/K')_{K/K'}$ has fibers with torsion cohomology and pull-back induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology $H_K(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{K/K'}(X/K', \mathbb{Q})$.

Any homomorphism $K' \to K$ induces an K'-action on X and a homomorphism $H_K(X) \to H_{K'}(X)$. In particular, suppose that $T \subset K$ is a maximal torus with Weyl group $W = N(T)/T$. The group W naturally acts on ET, hence X_T, by homeomorphisms, and induces an action of W on the equivariant cohomology $H_T(X)$. We denote by $H_T(X)^W$ the invariant part. The inclusion induces a map $H_K(X) \to H_T(X)$;

with image contained in $H_T(X)^W$. Over the rationals we obtain an isomorphism

$$H_K(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H_T(X,\mathbb{Q})^W; \tag{2}$$

see [30]. The K-space X is *equivariantly formal* if the cohomology spectral sequence for the projection $(X \times EK)/K \to BK$ collapses, or equivalently, inclusion of the fiber induces an injection

$$H(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H_K(X,\mathbb{Q}). \tag{3}$$

If X is a compact manifold, there is an *equivariant fundamental class* $[X]_K \in H^K_{\dim(X)}(X)$. Product with $[X]_K$ defines an *equivariant integration map*

$$\int_X : H_K(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_K(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Z}).$$

If X is equivariantly formal, this induces an isomorphism over the rationals (fiberwise Poincaré duality)

$$H_K(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{H_K(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Q})}(H_K(X,\mathbb{Q}), H_K(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Q})) \tag{4}$$

see for example [26], [9].

If X is a smooth K-manifold, then there is an equivariant version of de Rham cohomology defined as follows. The action of K on X gives rise to a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{k} \to \mathrm{Vect}(X), \quad \xi \mapsto \xi_X, \quad \xi_X(x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \exp(-t\xi)x.$$

Let $\Omega_K(X) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{k}, \Omega(X))^K$ denote the space of equivariant forms on X with smooth coefficients, and $H_K^{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$ the cohomology of the equivariant de Rham operator

$$\mathrm{d}_K \in \mathrm{End}(\Omega_K(X))[1], \quad (\mathrm{d}_K\alpha)(\zeta) := (\mathrm{d}\alpha)(\zeta) + (\iota(\zeta_X)\alpha)(\zeta).$$

Then $H_K^{dR}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is naturally isomorphic to $H_K(X,\mathbb{R})$, see [29].

Suppose that X is smooth and compact and K is torus. *Equivariant localization* in the form described by Atiyah-Bott [3] and Berline-Vergne, see [7], says that integration of an equivariantly closed form over X factors through the fixed point set of any one-parameter subgroup. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ be a central element, and X^ξ the fixed point set of ξ. Let ν_ξ be the normal bundle of the embedding $\iota_\xi : X^\xi \to X$. The intersection pairings on X are related to those on X^ξ by

$$\int_X \alpha = \int_{X^\xi} \iota_\xi^* \alpha \wedge \mathrm{Eul}_K(\nu_\xi)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

in $H_K(X)[\xi^{-1}]$. More generally, if X is not necessarily smooth then after localization the restriction map to the fixed point set is an isomorphism; this version of localization was discovered earlier by Borel, Hsiang, and Quillen, see for example tom Dieck [66]. For the explicit formula (5) to hold, it suffices that the fixed point sets admit tubular neighborhoods, see [29]. In particular, the formula (5) holds for C^1 manifolds with C^1-actions of K.

2.2. **Hamiltonian group actions.** Let (X, ω) denote a compact symplectic manifold with an action of K preserving ω. The action is *Hamiltonian* if there exists an equivariant *moment map*

$$\Phi : X \to \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad \iota(\xi_X)\omega = -\mathrm{d}\langle \Phi, \xi \rangle, \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{k}, \tag{6}$$

or equivalently if the symplectic form ω has an equivariantly closed extension $\omega_K \in \Omega^2_K(X), \omega_K(\zeta) := \omega + \langle \Phi, \zeta \rangle$. More generally, if ω is closed but degenerate then we say that the action is Hamiltonian if the same equation (6) holds. A *polarization* of X is a K-equivariant Hermitian line bundle $\pi : L \to X$ equipped with a connection $\alpha \in \Omega^1(L_1)^K$ with $\mathrm{d}_K \alpha = -\pi^* \omega_K$; here $L_1 \subset L$ is the unit circle bundle. In particular, the curvature of α is $(2\pi/i)\omega$. The *symplectic quotient* of X by K is $X /\!\!/ K := \Phi^{-1}(0)/K$. If K acts freely resp. locally freely on $\Phi^{-1}(0)$, then $X /\!\!/ K$ naturally has the structure of a symplectic manifold resp. orbifold with symplectic form $\omega /\!\!/ K \in \Omega^2(X /\!\!/ K)$ defined by $p^*(\omega /\!\!/ K) = \iota^* \omega$ where i, p are the inclusion and projection of $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ respectively. More generally for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}^*$ we denote by $X /\!\!/_\lambda K$ the quotient $(K\lambda^- \times X) /\!\!/ K = \Phi^{-1}(K\lambda)/K$. If $L \to X$ is a polarization, then the line bundle $L /\!\!/ K := (L | \Phi^{-1}(0))/K$ with connection one-form defined by $\alpha /\!\!/ K \in \Omega^1((L /\!\!/ K)_1), \hat{p}^*(\alpha /\!\!/ K) = \hat{\iota}^* \alpha$ is a polarization of $X /\!\!/ K$; here $\hat{p}, \hat{\iota}$ are the lifts of p, ι to $L | \Phi^{-1}(0)$.

2.3. **Wall-crossing formulae.** Suppose that G is the circle group $U(1)$ and X is a Hamiltonian $U(1)$-manifold with proper moment map. Denote by $X /\!\!/_\epsilon U(1) := \phi^{-1}(\epsilon)/U(1)$ the quotient at ϵ and if ϵ is a regular value by $\kappa_\epsilon : H_{U(1)}(X) \to H(X /\!\!/_\epsilon U(1))$ the Kirwan map. For the following, see Kalkman [36], Lerman [42], and in the gauge-theoretic context Ellingsrud-Göttsche [20]:

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let X be a Hamiltonian $U(1)$-action with proper moment map and $\alpha \in H_{U(1)}(X)$. For any regular values $\epsilon_\pm$ of the moment map ϕ,*

$$\int_{X /\!\!/_{\epsilon_+} U(1)} \kappa_{\epsilon_+}(\alpha) - \int_{X /\!\!/_{\epsilon_-} U(1)} \kappa_{\epsilon_-}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Res}_\xi \left(\sum_{F \subset \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+)^{U(1)}} \int_F \iota_F^* \alpha \wedge \mathrm{Eul}_{U(1)}(\nu_F)^{-1} \right) \tag{7}$$

where ξ is the equivariant parameter, and Res_ξ *denotes the residue at* 0.

The proof suggested by Lerman proceeds as follows. For any interval $[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$ let $\mathbb{P}^1_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$ be the two-sphere equipped with the standard $U(1)$-action by rotation and equivariant symplectic form with moment image $[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$. Define the *symplectic cut* of X at $[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$ as the quotient by the diagonal action

$$X_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]} := (X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{-[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}) /\!\!/ U(1) \tag{8}$$

$$\cong \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-)/U(1) \cup \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+) \cup \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_+)/U(1). \tag{9}$$

The $U(1)$ action on the first factor of $X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{-[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$ induces an action on $X_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$. The action is Hamiltonian with moment image $\phi(X) \cap [\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$ and fixed point set

$$X^{U(1)}_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]} = X_{\epsilon_-} \cup \phi^{-1}((\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+))^{U(1)} \cup X_{\epsilon_+}.$$

We denote by

$$\kappa_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} : H_{U(1)}(X) \to H_{U(1)}(X_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]})$$

the canonical map given by pull-back to $X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{-[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ and descent to the quotient. Taking the residue of the integral of $\kappa_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}(\alpha)$ over $X_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ gives

$$\operatorname{Res}_\xi \int_{X_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}} \kappa_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}\alpha = 0$$

since the integral is polynomial. On the other hand, localization (5) expresses the integral (which has zero residue) as

$$\int_{X_{\epsilon_-}} \kappa_{\epsilon_-}(\alpha)\operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\nu_-)^{-1} + \int_{X_{\epsilon_+}} \kappa_{\epsilon_+}(\alpha)\operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\nu_+)^{-1} + \left(\sum_{F \subset \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+)^{U(1)}} \int_F \iota_F^*\alpha \wedge \operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\nu_F)^{-1} \right) \tag{10}$$

where $\nu_\pm$ is the normal bundle of $X_{\epsilon_\pm}$ in $X_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$. The residues of the first two terms come exclusively from the first term in the expansion of the inverted Euler classes, since α is top degree, and the wall-crossing formula follows. In fact, the argument does not use the Hamiltonian structure on X; the same formula holds for any C^1 $U(1)$-manifold X equipped with a proper map $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $U(1)$ acts freely on the regular values of ϕ.

Kalkman's wall-crossing formula can be used to study the symplectic quotients of a family of Hamiltonian K-manifolds as follows. Let (X, ω_0, ϕ_0) be a Hamiltonian K-manifold and $\omega_1 + \phi_1 \in \Omega^2_K(X)$ a closed equivariant two-form. Consider the family of closed two-forms $\omega_\epsilon = \omega_0 + \epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. The action of K on $X_\epsilon := (X, \omega_\epsilon)$ is Hamiltonian (in the sense that (6) holds; the two-form may be degenerate for large ϵ but that does not concern us here) with moment map $\phi_\epsilon = \phi_0 + \epsilon\phi_1 : X \to \mathfrak{k}^*$. Consider the symplectic quotients

$$X_{0,\epsilon} = X_\epsilon /\!\!/ K := \phi_\epsilon^{-1}(0)/K.$$

For any ϵ such that 0 is a regular value, the form ω_ϵ induces a closed two-form $\omega_{0,\epsilon}$ on $X_{0,\epsilon}$. We remark that by equivariant Moser theorem, *any* deformation of the Hamiltonian K-manifold (X, ω_0, ϕ_0) is given by such a linear family. The following is the symplectic version of the "master space" construction in Thaddeus [64, Section 3], readers who prefer algebraic geometry may substitute the treatment there.

Lemma 2.3.2 (Existence of a master space)**.** *Suppose that (ω_1, ϕ_1) has polarization (L, α_1) for some line bundle $\pi : L \to X$ and for each element $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, the fixed point locus X^ξ satisfies $\langle \phi_1(X^\xi), \xi\rangle \neq 0$. Then there exists a Hamiltonian $U(1)$-orbifold $\tilde{X}$ whose symplectic quotients $\tilde{X} /\!\!/_\epsilon U(1)$ are canonically symplectomorphic to $X_{0,\epsilon}$ where smooth.*

Proof. By assumption $\pi^*\omega_1 = \mathrm{d}\alpha_1, \langle \phi_1, \xi\rangle = \alpha_1(\xi_L), \xi \in \mathfrak{k}$. Let $L(T^*S^1)$ denote the associated bundle with fiber T^*S^1, that is, $L(T^*S^1) = L_1 \times_{S^1} T^*S^1$. The moment map for the action of S^1 descends to a map $\phi : L(T^*S^1) \to \mathbb{R}$. Equip $L(T^*S^1)$ with the closed two-form $\pi^*\omega_0 + \mathrm{d}(\phi\,\alpha_1)$. The action of $U(1)$ on the fibers of $L(T^*S^1)$ is then Hamiltonian with moment map ϕ, the action of K on $L(T^*S^1)$ is Hamiltonian with moment map given by $\phi_L := \pi^*\phi_0 + \phi\pi^*\phi_1$. Define $\tilde{X} := L(T^*S^1)/\!\!/K$. Then for any regular ϵ there is a canonical symplectomorphism of symplectic quotients $\tilde{X}/\!\!/_\epsilon U(1) \to X_{0,\epsilon}$, $[l, v] \mapsto \pi(l)$. The space $\tilde{X}$ is a smooth orbifold if the action of K is locally free on the zero level set in $L(T^*S^1)$. An element $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ acts trivially on an element $y \in \phi_L^{-1}(0)$ if and only if $\pi(y)$ is ξ-fixed and ξ acts trivially on the fiber over $\pi(y)$, that is, $\langle \phi_1(\pi(y)), \xi\rangle = 0$. Hence $X/\!\!/K$ is a smooth orbifold if for each element $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, the fixed point locus X^ξ satisfies $\langle \phi_1(X^\xi), \xi\rangle \neq 0$. □

The wall-crossing formula (7) applied to $\tilde{X}$ computes the difference of the pairings on X_{0,ϵ_-} and X_{0,ϵ_+}. Indeed, the projection induces a $U(1)$-invariant map $L(T^*S^1) \to X$ and composing with the Kirwan map one obtains a canonical map $\tilde{\kappa} : H_K(X) \to H_{U(1)}(\tilde{X})$. Its composition with $\kappa_{\epsilon_\pm} : H_{U(1)}(\tilde{X}) \to H(X_{0,\epsilon_\pm})$ is equal to $\kappa_{0,\epsilon_\pm}$, by a diagram chase. Let us examine the structure of the fixed point set of $U(1)$ on $\tilde{X}$. Recall that $W = N(T)/T$ is the Weyl group. For any $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$, we denote by W_ζ is the stabilizer of ζ under the adjoint action.

Lemma 2.3.3 (Structure of the fixed point components)**.** *Suppose that X is a compact Hamiltonian K-manifold, $\omega_1 + \phi_1$ is an equivariantly closed form, and the master space $\tilde{X}$ is a locally free quotient.*

(a) *Any fixed point $[l] \in \tilde{X}^{U(1)}$ has the property that for all $z \in U(1)$, $zl = z^\zeta l$ for some representative $l \in L(T^*S^1)$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

(b) *Let $\tilde{F}$ denote the fixed point component containing some $[l]$, and $F \subset X^\zeta/\!\!/_\epsilon K_\zeta$ the fixed point component containing $[\pi(l)]$. There exists a local diffeomorphism ι_ζ from F to $\tilde{F}$ with fiber given by the quotient W/W_ζ, and any component $\tilde{F}$ of $\tilde{X}^{U(1)}$ is the image of some such F.*

(c) *For any $\alpha \in H_K(X)$, the pull-back of $\tilde{\kappa}(\alpha)|\tilde{F} \in H_{U(1)}(\tilde{F})$ under the map $\iota_\zeta : F \to \tilde{F}$ is equal to image of α under the restriction map $H_K(X) \to H_{U(1)_\zeta}(F)$*

(d) *The pull-back of the normal bundle $N_{\tilde{F}}$ of $\tilde{F}$ under ι_ζ is canonically isomorphic to the normal bundle for the map $F \to (X/\!\!/_\epsilon K)$, by an isomorphism that intertwines the action of $U(1)_\zeta$ on F with the action of $U(1)$ on $\iota_\zeta^*\tilde{F}$.*

Proof. If $[l] \in \tilde{X}^{U(1)}$, then $[l] \in \tilde{X}^\xi$, where ξ is the generator of $\mathfrak{u}(1)$, hence $\xi_L(l) = \zeta_L(l)$ for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$. Since K acts locally freely ζ must be unique. Integrating gives $zl = z^\zeta l$ for all $z \in U(1)$. By uniqueness of ζ, this holds for every point in the component $\tilde{F}$ of $\tilde{X}^\xi$ containing $[l]$. Now the map $F \to \tilde{F}$ maps $K_\zeta x$ to Kl, where l is a lift of x, and so has fiber $(K/K_\zeta)^\zeta \cong W/W_\zeta$. The remaining claims are left to the reader. □

For any fixed point component $F \subset X^\zeta /\!\!/_\epsilon K_\zeta$, we denote by ν_F the pull-back of the normal bundle of $\tilde{F}$ to F under the map $X^\zeta /\!\!/_\epsilon K_\zeta \to \tilde{X}^{U(1)}$. Then

Corollary 2.3.4. *Let $(X, \omega_0 + t\omega_1, \phi_0 + t\phi_1)$ be a family of Hamiltonian K-manifolds as above, and $\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+ \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the quotient $\tilde{X}_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ is locally free. Then for any $\beta \in H_K(X)$,*

$$\int_{X_{0,\epsilon_+}} \kappa_{0,\epsilon_+}(\beta) - \int_{X_{0,\epsilon_-}} \kappa_{0,\epsilon_-}(\beta) = \sum_{\epsilon \in (\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+)} \sum_\zeta \frac{|W_\zeta|}{|W|} \operatorname{Res}_\zeta \sum_F \int_F \iota_F^* \beta \wedge \operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\nu_F)^{-1} \tag{11}$$

where the sum over ζ represents a sum over one-parameter subgroups of K, up to conjugacy, and the sum is over components F of $X^\zeta /\!\!/_\epsilon K_\zeta$.

2.4. **Virtual wall-crossing.**

The above arguments depend only on axiomatic properties of localization, and as such extend to the setting virtual fundamental classes and virtual localization developed by Behrend-Fantechi [5], Behrend [4], Graber-Pandharipande [27]; a Chow ring version of the residue formula is given in Edidin-Graham [18]. Kiem-Li [38] extend the virtual wall-crossing formula to the setting of proper Deligne-Mumford stacks. The following is a special case of their results.

Let X be a proper Deligne-Mumford stack. A *perfect obstruction theory* for X consists of a two term complex of vector bundles $E^\bullet = [E^{-1} \to E^0]$ on X, together with a morphism in the derived category (of quasi-coherent sheaf complexes bounded from above) from E to the cotangent complex LX inducing an isomorphism in cohomology in degree 0, and a surjection in cohomology in degree -1. The constructions of Behrend-Fantechi [5] give rise to a virtual fundamental class $[X]^{vir}$ of degree $d = \operatorname{rk}(E^0) - \operatorname{rk}(E^{-1})$ the expected dimension. If X is equipped with an equivariant $\mathbb{C}^*$-action together with a lifting of the action to E such that ϕ is a morphism in the category of $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant sheaves, then the above construction yields a class in the equivariant Chow group. Graber-Pandharipande [27] prove a virtual localization formula for Deligne-Mumford $\mathbb{C}^*$-stack X that admits a global equivariant embedding in a non-singular Deligne-Mumford $\mathbb{C}^*$-stack. (Group actions on stacks are detailed in [58].) If X is a Deligne-Mumford $\mathbb{C}^*$-stack equipped with a polarization and a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant obstruction theory, the Kalkman wall-crossing formula holds as before, assuming the existence of a global embedding in a non-singular Deligne-Mumford stack. One then obtains the following algebraic version of the wall-crossing Corollary 2.3.4:

Proposition 2.4.1 (Corollary of Kiem-Li [38])**.** *Let X be a Deligne-Mumford G-stack equipped with a G-equivariant perfect obstruction theory which admits an embedding in a smooth Deligne-Mumford G-stack. Let L be an ample G-line bundle, and L_1 any G-line bundle. For ϵ small let $X /\!\!/_\epsilon G$ denote the family of git quotients obtained from the family of (fractional) polarizations $L \otimes L_1^\epsilon$, and $\tilde{X}$ the corresponding master space with $\tilde{X} /\!\!/_\epsilon \mathbb{C}^* \cong X /\!\!/_\epsilon G$. Suppose $\epsilon_\pm$ are constants such that $X /\!\!/_{\epsilon_\pm} G$*

and the quotient $\tilde{X}/\!\!/G$ *is locally free. Then*

(12)
$$\int_{[X_{0,\epsilon_+}]} \kappa_{0,\epsilon_+}(\beta) - \int_{[X_{0,\epsilon_-}]} \kappa_{0,\epsilon_-}(\beta) = \sum_{\epsilon \in (\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+)} \sum_{\zeta} \frac{|W_\zeta|}{|W|} \operatorname{Res}_\zeta \sum_F \int_{[F]} \iota_F^* \beta \wedge \operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\nu_F)^{-1}$$

where the sum over ζ *represents a sum over one-parameter subgroups of* G*, up to conjugacy, and the sum is over components* F *of* $X^\zeta/\!\!/_\epsilon G_\zeta$ *as* ϵ *varies from* ϵ_1 *to* ϵ_2*.*

3. EQUIVARIANT GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY

In this section we review the construction of a cohomological field theory (CohFT) via Gromov-Witten invariants. For smooth projective varieties the algebraic theory gives rise to such a CohFT, see Graber-Pandharipande [27] for references.

3.1. **Stable curves.**

Each nodal, marked curve C has a *combinatorial type* $\Gamma(C)$, a graph whose vertices are the components and edges are the nodes and markings of C. The map $C \mapsto \Gamma(C)$ extends to a functor from the category of marked nodal surfaces to the category of graphs. In particular, there is a canonical homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(C) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma(C))$, whose kernel is the product of the automorphism groups of the components of C. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,n,\Gamma}$ denote the moduli stack of stable curves of combinatorial type Γ, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ the union over combinatorial types

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} = \bigcup_\Gamma \mathcal{M}_{g,n,\Gamma}.$$

Readers unfamiliar with stacks may replace $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ with the coarse moduli space of isomorphism classes $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. The boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ (that is, the complement of the open locus of curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ with smooth domain) consists of the following divisors:

(a) If $g > 0$ and $2g + n > 2$, a divisor

$$\iota_{g-1,n+2} : D_{g-1,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

equipped with an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{g-1,n+2} : D_{g-1,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+2}.$$

The inclusion is obtained by identifying the last two marked points.

(b) for each splitting $g = g_1 + g_2, \{1, \ldots, n\} = I_1 \cup I_2$ with $2g_j + |I_j| \geq 2, j = 1, 2$, a divisor

$$\iota_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2} : D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

corresponding to the formation of a separating node, splitting the surface into pieces of genus g_1, g_2 with markings I_1, I_2, equipped with an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2} : D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,|I_1|+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,|I_2|+1}$$

(except in the cases $I_1 = I_2 = \emptyset$ and $g_1 = g_2$ in which case there is an additional automorphism.)

The pull-back $\iota^*_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}\beta$ of any class $\beta \in H^*(\overline{M}_{g,n},\mathbb{Q})$ has a Künneth decomposition

$$\iota^*_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}\beta = \sum_{j\in J} \beta_{1,j} \otimes \beta_{2,j} \tag{13}$$

for some index set J and classes $\beta_{k,j} \in H(\overline{M}_{g_j,|I_j|+1},\mathbb{Q})$. For each of the above divisors $D_\bullet$, let $\gamma_\bullet \in H^2(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ denote the dual class to the divisor $D_.$.

Definition 3.1.1. (Kontsevich-Manin) An (even) cohomological field theory (CohFT) is a vector space V equipped with a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form and collection of *correlators*

$$V^n \otimes H^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n},\mathbb{Q}) \to k, \quad (\alpha,\beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha;\beta\rangle_{g,n}$$

satisfying the following two splitting axioms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \alpha;\beta\wedge\gamma_{g-1,n}\rangle_{g,n} &= \sum_k \langle \alpha,\delta_k,\delta^k;\iota^*_{g-1,n}\beta\rangle_{g-1,n+2}\\
\langle \alpha,;\beta\wedge\gamma_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}\rangle_{g,n} &= \sum_k \langle \alpha_{I_1},\delta_k;\cdot\rangle_{g_1,|I_1|+1}\langle \alpha_{I_2},\delta^k;\cdot\rangle_{g_2,|I_2|+1}(\iota^*_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

where the dots indicate insertion of the Künneth components of $\iota^*_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}\beta$, $\delta_k \in V$ is a basis and $\delta^k \in V$ a dual basis. (There is an additional factor of 2 in the exceptional case $g_1 = g_2$, $I_1 = I_2 = \emptyset$ arising from the additional automorphism.)

That is, if β is as in (13) then

$$\langle \alpha;\beta\wedge\gamma_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} \langle \alpha_{I_1},\delta_k;\beta_{1,j}\rangle_{g_1,|I_1|+1}\langle \alpha_{I_2},\delta^k;\beta_{2,j}\rangle_{g_2,|I_2|+1}.$$

More generally, if V is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded then the above formula with appropriate signs leads to a general definition of CohFT. However, we will consider only the even case.

Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_V$ denote the form on V. The correlators gives rise to *composition maps*

$$\mu^{g,n} : V^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_{g,n+1}) \to V \tag{14}$$

defined by the equation

$$\langle \mu^{g,n}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n;\beta),\alpha_0\rangle_V = \langle \alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n;\beta\rangle_{g,n+1}.$$

The various relations on the divisors in $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ give rise to relations on the maps $\mu^{g,n}$. In particular the relation $[D_{0,\{0,3\}\cup\{1,2\}}] = [D_{0,\{0,1\}\cup\{2,3\}}]$ in $H^2(\overline{M}_{0,4})$ implies that the composition map for two incoming points $\mu^{0,2} : V\otimes V \to V$ is an associative product.

3.2. **Stable maps.** Let X be a smooth projective variety. A *marked prestable map* to X consists of a nodal curve C, a collection $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of distinct, smooth points on C, and a holomorphic map $u : C \to X$. The pair (C, u) is *stable* if each component C_i for which u_i is constant has at least three special (nodal or marked) points. An *isomorphism* of marked nodal maps from $(C_0, \underline{z_0}, u_0)$ to $(C_1, \underline{z_1}, u_1)$ is an isomorphism of nodal surfaces $\varphi : C_0 \to C_1$ such that $\varphi(z_{0,i}) = z_{1,i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $u_1 \circ \varphi = u_0$. The *homology class* of a stable map u is $\deg(u) = u_*[C] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,\Gamma}(X, d)$ denote the moduli stack of stable maps of combinatorial type Γ and homology class d, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, d)$ the union over combinatorial types

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, d) = \bigcup_{\Gamma} \mathcal{M}_{g,n,\Gamma}(X, d).$$

Let $\overline{M}_{g,n}(X, d)$ denote its coarse moduli space. From the symplectic point of view, the coarse moduli space of stable maps has a natural topology, so that any convergent sequence is *Gromov convergent*. Its formal tangent space is the kernel of an Fredholm operator given as follows. Let $\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(C)$ denote the space of infinitesimal variation of the complex structure on C preserving the combinatorial type. Any infinitesimal deformation may be represented as section of TC). Given a choice of representatives

$$\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(C) \to \Omega^0(C, \mathrm{End}(TC)), \quad \zeta \mapsto j(\zeta) \tag{15}$$

define the *linearized operator*

$$\tilde{D}_u : \mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(C) \times \Omega^0(C, u^*TX) \to \Omega^{0,1}(C, u^*TX) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} u(w_i^\pm)^*TX$$

$$\tilde{D}_u(\underline{\zeta}, \underline{\xi}) := \left(\pi_C^{0,1}(\nabla \underline{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} J(u) \mathrm{d}u j(\zeta) - \frac{1}{2} J_u (\nabla_{\underline{\xi}} J)_u \partial u), (\underline{\xi}(w_i^+) - \underline{\xi}(w_i^-))_{i=1}^m \right)$$

given by the Cauchy-Riemann operator on each component, and the difference of the values of the section at the nodes $w_1^\pm, \ldots, w_m^\pm$. The map u is *regular* if $\tilde{D}_u$ if is surjective. Regularity of u is independent of the choice of splitting (15). Let $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{reg}}(X, d)$ denote the locus of regular stable maps.

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let X be a compact symplectic manifold equipped with a compatible complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}(X)$. For any $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{M}_{g,n}(X, d)$ over $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\langle [\omega], d \rangle < c$ is a compact, Hausdorff space. $\overline{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{reg}}(X, d)$ has the structure of an oriented stratified-smooth topological orbifold.*

For further references see Ruan-Tian [59], McDuff-Salamon in genus zero [46], Zinger [73, Chapter 3] or Gonzalez-Woodward [25], [34, Theorem 1.8].

In the algebraic geometry setting one naturally has the following, see [5], [4], [27].

Theorem 3.2.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety. For any $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, d)$ over $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\langle [\omega], d \rangle < c$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack, equipped with a canonical perfect obstruction theory $\phi : E \to LX$.*

The boundary structure of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,d)$ is similar to that of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. If $g>0$ and $2g+n>2$ or $d\neq 0$, there is a subset

$$\iota_{g-1,n+2}: D_{g-1,n+2}(X,d)\to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,d)$$

equipped with an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{g-1,n+2}: D_{g-1,n+2}(X,d)\to \operatorname{ev}_{n+1,n+2}^{-1}(\Delta)\subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+2}(X,d).$$

The inclusion is obtained by identifying the last two marked points. For each splitting $g=g_1+g_2, \{1,\ldots,n\}=I_1\cup I_2, d=d_1+d_2$ with either $2g_j+|I_j|\geq 2, j=1,2$ or $d_j\neq 0$ a divisor

$$\iota_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}: D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}(X,d_1,d_2)\to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,d)$$

corresponding to the formation of a separating node, splitting the surface into pieces of genus g_1,g_2 with markings I_1,I_2 and homology classs d_1,d_2, equipped with an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}: D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}(X,d_1,d_2)\to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,|I_1|+1}(X,d_1)\times_X \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,|I_2|+1}(X,d_2) \tag{16}$$

(except in the cases $I_1=I_2=\emptyset$ and $g_1=g_2$ in which case there is an additional automorphism) with $\iota_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}$ resp. $\varphi_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}$ an immersion resp. isomorphism of orbifolds over the regular locus. If $2g_j+|I_j|\geq 2$ (that is, each component is stable) there is a forgetful morphism

$$f: D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}(X,d_1,d_2)\to D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}$$

is a fibration over a subset of codimension two, namely the locus where no collapsing of unstable components occurs.

Suppose that X is equipped with a G-action; then G acts on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,d)$ by translation and all the boundary divisors above are invariant. Let

$$\delta_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}(X,d_1,d_2)\in H^2_G(\overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d))$$

denote the dual class to $D_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}(X,d_1,d_2)$. The pull-back classes are given by

$$f^*\delta_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}=\sum_{d_1+d_2=d}\delta_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}(X,d_1,d_2). \tag{17}$$

For any cohomology classes $\alpha\in H_K(X,\mathbb{Q})^n$ and $\beta\in H(\overline{M}_{g,n},\mathbb{Q})$, integration defines an *equivariant Gromov-Witten invariant*

$$\langle\alpha;\beta\rangle_{g,d}=\int_{[\overline{M}_{g,n}(X,d)]}\operatorname{ev}^*\alpha\wedge f^*\beta\in H_G(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Q}).$$

Define the *Novikov ring* Λ_X for X as the set of maps $\varphi\in \operatorname{Hom}(H_2(X,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Q})$ such that for any constant C, the set

$$\{\lambda\in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})| \quad \langle\lambda,[\omega]\rangle<C, \quad \varphi(\lambda)\neq 0\}$$

is finite. Define a vector space

$$QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q}):=H_G(X,\mathbb{Q})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\Lambda_X.$$

Equivariant Poincaré duality (4) extends to this setting and we define composition maps

$$\mu^{g,n}_{X,G} : QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_{g,n+1},\mathbb{Q}) \to QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})$$

by

$$(\mu^{g,n}_{X,G}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n;\beta),\alpha_0) = \sum_{d\in H_2(X)} e^d \langle \alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n;\beta\rangle_{g,d}.$$

In the case $n = 2$ the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ is a point and $\mu^{0,2}_{X,G} : QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 2} \to QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})$ gives the *small quantum product* on $QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})$. For the following, see Givental [22] and Graber-Pandharipande [27].

Theorem 3.2.3. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective G-variety. The maps $\mu^{g,n}_{X,G}$ form a cohomological field theory with values in $QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})$.*

Similar results hold in the symplectic context, in situations where one can achieve transversality. The structure of the fixed point sets of the action of G on the moduli space of stable maps is described in Kontsevich [40]. In particular, any Gromov-Witten invariant of X can be computed *in principle* from the fixed point data in X, assuming that all invariant spheres are isolated.

3.3. **Twisted Gromov-Witten invariants.** In this section, we describe twisted versions of Gromov-Witten invariants arising from vector bundles on X, see for example Coates-Givental [15]. The results here are not needed for the main theorems, but rather provide a context for the wall-crossing formula.

Let $E \to X$ be a G-equivariant complex vector bundle. Pull-back under the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_{n+1} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}(X) \to X$ gives rise to a vector bundle $\mathrm{ev}^*_{n+1} E \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}(X)$, which we can push down to an *index bundle* $\mathrm{Ind}(E) := Rf_{n+1,*}\,\mathrm{ev}^*_{n+1} E$ in the equivariant derived category of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X)$. Consider the equivariant Euler class

$$\mathrm{Eul}_{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathrm{Ind}_G(E)) \in H_G(\overline{M}_{g,n}(X)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]$$

which is invertible in $H_G(\overline{M}_{g,n}(X),\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]$, where ζ is the parameter for the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ by scalar multiplication in the fibers. Define *twisted equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants*

$$\langle \alpha;\beta\rangle_{g,n,E,d} = \int_{[\overline{M}_{g,n}(X)]} \mathrm{ev}^*\alpha \wedge f^*\beta \wedge \mathrm{Eul}_{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathrm{Ind}_G(E)) \in H_G(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}].$$

From the symplectic point of view $\mathrm{Ind}(E)$ is a K-class has fiber at (C,u) given by the index of the twisted Dolbeault operator

$$\overline{\partial}_{u^*E} : \Omega^{0,0}(C,u^*E) \to \Omega^{0,1}(C,u^*E).$$

The gluing construction for indices of elliptic operators (see e.g. [68, Theorem 2.4.1]) implies that the index bundles over the various strata fit together to a global class in K-theory.

The twisted invariants satisfy the factorization property

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \wedge \gamma_{g-1,n} \rangle_{g,d,E} = \sum_k \langle \alpha, \delta_k \wedge \mathrm{Eul}_{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}(E), \delta^k; \iota_{g-1,n}^* \beta \rangle_{g-1,d,E}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \alpha, ; \beta \wedge \gamma_{g_1+g_2, I_1 \cup I_2} \rangle_{g,d,E} \\ &\quad = \sum_{d_1+d_2=d} \sum_k \langle \alpha_{I_1}, \delta_k \wedge \mathrm{Eul}_{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}(E); \cdot \rangle_{g_1,d_1,E} \langle \alpha_{I_2}, \delta^k; \cdot \rangle_{g_2,d_2,E} (\iota_{g_1+g_2,I_1\cup I_2}^* \beta). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The additional Euler insertion on the right hand side arises from the fiber at the node. Define

$$QH_{G\times U(1)}(X,\mathbb{Q}) = QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]$$

and define twisted composition maps

$$\mu_{X,G,E}^{g,n} : QH_{G\times U(1)}(X,\mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_{g,n+1},\mathbb{Q}) \to QH_{G\times U(1)}(X,\mathbb{Q})$$

by

$$(\mu_{X,G,E}^{g,n}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n;\beta),\alpha_0) = \sum_{d\in H_2(X)} e^d \langle \alpha_0 \wedge \mathrm{Eul}_{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}(E)^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_n; \beta \rangle_{g,d,E}.$$

Algebro-geometric discussion of twisted composition maps can be found in e.g. Pandharipande [54].

Theorem 3.3.1. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective G-variety, and $E \to X$ be a G-equivariant vector bundle. The datum $(QH_{G\times U(1)}(X,\mathbb{Q}), (\mu^{g,n})_{g,n\geq 0})$ form a cohomological field theory, denoted $GW_G(X,E)$.*

4. Gauged Gromov-Witten theory

In this section we define gauged Gromov-Witten invariants. These give rise to an algebraic structure that we call a "cohomological trace", based on the boundary structure of the moduli space of stable rooted curves.

4.1. Stable rooted curves.

The purpose of this section is to define a notion of *cohomological trace* on a cohomological field theory. Let Σ be a smooth projective holomorphic curve. An *n-marking* of Σ is a collection $\underline{z} = (z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ of n distinct points on Σ. A *Σ-rooted stable n-marked curve* is a genus $g = \mathrm{genus}(\Sigma)$ n-marked stable map $u : C \to \Sigma$ of class $[\Sigma]$. Since this class is minimal, there exists a distinguished component $C_0 \subset C$ that maps isomorphically onto Σ; all other components map to points. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$ denote the moduli stack of Σ-rooted stable marked curves, viewed as a compactification of the configuration space of n points on Σ. For each subset $I \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$ of order at least two there is a boundary divisor

$$\iota_I : D_I \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$$

where the markings for $i \in I$ have bubbled off onto an (unparametrized) sphere bubble, and a homeomorphism

$$\varphi_I : D_I \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,|I|+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n-|I|+1}(\Sigma).$$

In particular, for any $\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$, the pull-back $\iota_I^* \beta$ has a Künneth decomposition

$$\iota_I^* \beta = \sum_{j \in J} \beta_{1,j} \otimes \beta_{2,j} \tag{19}$$

for some index set J and classes $\beta_{1,j} \in H(\overline{M}_{0,|I|+1})$ and $\beta_{2,j} \in H(\overline{M}_{n-|I|+1}(\Sigma))$.

Definition 4.1.1. A Σ-*cohomological trace* on a CohFT V with values in a vector space R is a collection of *correlators*

$$\tau^n : V^n \times H^\bullet(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)) \to R$$

satisfying the *splitting axiom*

$$\tau^n(\alpha; \beta \wedge \delta_I) = \tau^{n-|I|+1}(\alpha_i, i \notin I, \mu^{|I|}(\alpha; \cdot); \cdot)(\iota_I^* \beta) \tag{20}$$

where the $\cdot$'s denote insertion of the Künneth components of β and $\mu^\bullet$ are the composition maps of (14). That is, with β as in (19),

$$\tau^n(\alpha; \beta \wedge \delta_I) = \sum_{j \in J} \tau^{n-|I|+1}(\alpha_i, i \notin I, \mu^{|I|}(\alpha; \beta_{1,j}); \beta_{2,j}).$$

4.2. **Symplectic vortices.** Symplectic vortices arise via the symplectic quotient construction applied to the space of gauged pseudoholomorphic sections. The equations that they satisfy were introduced and studied by Mundet i Riera [50] and Salamon [11]. In physics the *gauged sigma model* goes back at least to Witten [69].

Let Σ be a compact smooth holomorphic curve, and $\pi : P \to \Sigma$ a smooth principal K-bundle. Given any left K-manifold F we have a left action of K on $P \times F$ given by $k(p, f) = (pk^{-1}, kf)$ and we denote by $P(F) = (P \times F)/K$ the quotient, that is, the associated fiber bundle with fiber F.

Let X denote a compact Hamiltonian K-manifold with symplectic form ω and moment map $\Phi : X \to \mathfrak{k}^*$. The action of K on X induces an action on $\mathcal{J}(X)$ by conjugation, and we denote by $\mathcal{J}(X)^K$ the invariant subspace. Let $\psi : \Sigma \to BK$ be a classifying map for $P \to \Sigma$. Sections $u : \Sigma \to P(X)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with lifts of ψ to X_K. The degree, or homology class, $\deg(u)$ of the section u is defined to be the class $\deg(u) \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of the corresponding lift.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(P)$ the space of smooth connections on P, and by $P(\mathfrak{k}) := (P \times \mathfrak{k})/K$ the adjoint bundle. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, we denote $F_A \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ the curvature of A. Any connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ induces a map of spaces of almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{J}(X)^K \to \mathcal{J}(P(X)), \quad J \mapsto J_A$$

by combining the almost complex structure on X and Σ using the splitting defined by the connection. Let $\Gamma(\Sigma, P(X))$ denote the space of sections of $P(X)$. We denote by

$$\overline{\partial}_A : \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X)) \to \bigcup_{u \in \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X))} \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X)) \tag{21}$$

the Cauchy-Riemann operator defined by J_A. A *gauged map* of $P(X)$ is a pair (A, u) where $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and $u : \Sigma \to P(X)$ is a section. Let $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ be the space of *gauged holomorphic maps* consisting of pairs

$$\mathcal{H}(P, X) = \{(A, u) \in \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X)), \quad \overline{\partial}_A u = 0\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ has a formal closed two-form induced from the sum of the symplectic form on the affine space of connections and the space of maps to X. This is not strictly necessary for our purposes, but serves to motivate the definition of symplectic vortices below. Let $(\ \wedge\ ) : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))^2 \to \Omega^2(\Sigma)$ denote the operation obtained by combining wedge product with the metric on the Lie algebra, and

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (a_1, a_2) \mapsto \int_\Sigma (a_1 \wedge a_2)$$

the symplectic form on the affine space of connections $\mathcal{A}(P)$.On the other hand, let $P(\omega)$ denote the fiber-wise two-form on $P(X)$ defined by ω. Choose a two-form $\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$ and define a formal 2-form

$$\Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X))^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (v_1, v_2) \mapsto \int_\Sigma u^* P(\omega)(v_1, v_2) \,\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma . \tag{22}$$

(22) is the restriction of a closed formal two-form on the space of all sections $\Sigma \to P(X)$, and so restricts to a closed two-form on $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$, where smooth. If X is Kähler, then the $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ is formally a complex manifold in the sense that its tangent space is the kernel of a complex linear operator, and this can be used to show non-degeneracy of (22), where $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ is smooth. Choose a constant $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the summed formal two-form

$$((a_1, v_1), (a_2, v_2)) \to \int_\Sigma \langle a_1 \wedge a_2 \rangle + \epsilon^{-1} (u^* P(\omega))(v_1, v_2) \,\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma .$$

Let $\mathcal{K}(P)$ denote the group of gauge transformations of P, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}(P) = \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$. The infinitesimal action $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathrm{Vect}(X)$ induces a map

$$\Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \to \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, P(\mathrm{Vect}(X))), \quad \zeta \mapsto \zeta_X. \tag{23}$$

The action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ on $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ has generating vector fields given by the covariant derivative and infinitesimal action

$$\xi_{\mathcal{H}(P,X)}(A, u) = (-\mathrm{d}_A \xi, \zeta_X(u)) \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \times \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X))$$

for $\xi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$. The action preserves the formal two-form (22) and has formal moment map given by the curvature plus pull-back of the moment map for X,

$$\mathcal{H}(P, X) \to \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})), \quad (A, u) \mapsto F_A + \epsilon^{-1} \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi).$$

This motivates the

Definition 4.2.1. A gauged holomorphic map $(A, u) \in \mathcal{H}(P, X)$ is an *ϵ-vortex* iff

$$F_A + \epsilon^{-1} \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi) = 0.$$

An *n-marked* symplectic vortex is a vortex (A, u) together with n-tuple $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of distinct points on Σ. A *framed ϵ-vortex* is a collection $(A, u, \underline{z}, \underline{\phi})$ where $(A, u, \underline{z})$ is a marked ϵ-vortex and $\underline{\phi} = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ are trivializations of the fibers of P at

$z_1, \ldots, z_n$, that is, each $\phi_j : P_{z_j} \to K$ is a K-equivariant isomorphism. A marked vortex $(A, u, \underline{z})$ is *stable* if it has finite automorphism group. A framed vortex is *stable* if the underlying marked vortex is stable.

The stability terminology for vortices is somewhat problematic because of a conflict between the stability terminology for bundles and for curves. In particular (A, u) is *strictly polystable* if it satisfies the vortex equations but has infinite automorphism group. On the other hand, when we consider nodal vortices later, we cannot really call them *semistable* since we allow infinite automorphism group, but require that the "ghost" bubbles, that is, bubble components on which the map is constant are stable (at least three special points) rather than only semistable (at least two special points).

Symplectic vortices satisfy an energy-area relation similar to that for holomorphic maps. The *energy* of a gauged map (A, u) is given by

$$E(A,u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \left(|\mathrm{d}_A u|^2 + |F_A|^2 + |u^*P(\Phi)|^2\right) \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma .$$

The *equivariant symplectic area* of a pair u is pairing of the homology class of u with the class $[\omega_K] \in H^2(X_K)$,

$$D(u) = (\deg(u), [\omega_K]) = ([\Sigma], u_K^*[\omega_K]).$$

Suppose Vol_Σ is the area form determined by a choice of metric on Σ. The energy and equivariant symplectic area are related by

$$E(A,u) = D(u) + \int_\Sigma \left(|\overline{\partial}_A u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|F_A + \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma\, u^*P(\Phi)|^2 \right) \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma \tag{24}$$

see [10, Proposition 2.2]. In particular, for any symplectic vortex the energy and equivariant symplectic area are equal.

Let $M(P,X)_\epsilon$ be the moduli space of ϵ-vortices

$$M(P,X)_\epsilon := \mathcal{H}(P,X) /\!\!/ \mathcal{K}(P) = \{F_A + \epsilon^{-1}\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma\, u^*P(\Phi) = 0\}/\mathcal{K}(P).$$

Let $M_n(P,X)_\epsilon$ denote the moduli space of isomorphism classes of n-marked ϵ-vortices. Let

$$M_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon = \bigcup_{[P\to\Sigma]} M_n(P,X)_\epsilon$$

denote the union over isomorphism classes of bundles P. The moduli space $M_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon$ is homeomorphic to the product $M(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon \times M_n(\Sigma)$. Denote by $M(\Sigma,X,d)_\epsilon$ the component of $M(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon$ of homology class $d \in H_2^K(X,\mathbb{Z})$.

4.3. **Compactification.**

$M_n(\Sigma,X,d)_\epsilon$ has a Gromov-style compactification which allows bubbling of u in the fibers of $P(X)$.

Definition 4.3.1. A *prestable gauged marked map* from Σ to X with underlying bundle P consists of a datum $(A, C, u, \underline{z})$ where

(a) A is a connection on $P \to \Sigma$;
(b) $u : C \to P(X)$ is a stable map of base degree one, that is, $\pi \circ u : C \to \Sigma$ is a stable map of class $[\Sigma]$;
(c) $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in C$ are distinct, smooth points of C.

A *prestable ϵ-vortex* is a prestable gauged map such that the principal component is an ϵ-vortex. A prestable vortex $(C, A, u, \underline{z})$ is *polystable* if each sphere bubble C_i on which u_i is constant has at least three marked or singular points, and *stable* if it has finite automorphism group. Note that there is no condition on the number of special points on the principal component. In particular, constant gauged maps with no markings can be stable. An *isomorphism* of nodal ϵ-vortices $(C, A, u, \underline{z}), (C', A', u', \underline{z}')$ consists of an automorphism of the domain $\psi : C \to C'$, inducing the identity on Σ, and a bundle isomorphism $\alpha : P \to P'$, intertwining the connections and maps: that is, $\alpha^* A' = A, u' = \alpha \circ u \circ \psi$ and exchanging the markings, that is, $\psi(z_i) = z_i', i = 1, \ldots, n$. For any map $u : C \to P(X)$, the *homology class* $\deg(u) \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of u is the push-forward of $[C]$ under a map $u_K : C \to X_K$ obtained from a classifying map for P, that is, the sum of the homology classes of the components.

The following extends the notion of Gromov convergence to the case of nodal marked vortices:

Definition 4.3.2. Suppose that $(C_\alpha, A_\alpha, u_\alpha, \underline{z}_\alpha)$ is a sequence of polystable marked nodal ϵ-vortices on Σ with values in X, and $(C, A, u, \underline{z})$ is a polystable ϵ-vortex with values in X. We say that $(C_\alpha, A_\alpha, u_\alpha, \underline{z}_\alpha)$ *Gromov converges* to $(C, A, u, \underline{z})$ if after a sequence of gauge transformations A_α converges to A_∞ in the C^0 topology and weakly in the H^1-topology and u_α converges to u as in the standard definition of Gromov convergence of holomorphic maps [46]. A subset S of $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ is *Gromov closed* if any convergent sequence in S has limit point in S, and *Gromov open* if its complement is closed.

The definition of Gromov convergence implies in particular that the curvature F_{A_α} converges to F_{A_∞} in L^2. Note that A_α is not required to converge to A uniformly in all derivatives; in fact, we do not know whether compactness holds with this definition. The Gromov open sets form a topology for which any convergent sequence is Gromov convergent, and any convergent sequence has a unique limit, by arguments similar to [46, Lemma 5.6.5].

For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let $\hat{z_i} \in \Sigma$ denote the attaching point of the bubble tree containing z_i.

Definition 4.3.3. A *framed stable ϵ-vortex* consists of a stable vortex together with framings at the attaching points of the bubbles $\phi_i : P_{\hat{z}_i} \to K, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$. The definition of isomorphism of framed nodal ϵ-vortices is similar to the unframed case in Definition 4.3.1.

Let $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ denote the moduli space of isomorphism classes of stable framed ϵ-vortices.

Theorem 4.3.4. *For any $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$ resp. $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$ with $\langle [\omega_K], d\rangle < c$ is a compact, Hausdorff space.*

See Mundet [50]. The argument is a combination of Uhlenbeck and Gromov compactness; we refer to [23] or Ott [53] for other versions of the argument. The main technical point is that we do not have convergence of the connection in all derivatives on the annulus region near a bubble, but rather only C^0 convergence. Nevertheless, the "bubbles connect" goes through using a priori estimates obtained from the vortex equation.

The boundary structure of $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ is similar to that for $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)$. For each subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and splitting $d_1 + d_2 = d$ such that if $d_1 = 0$ then $|I| \geq 2$ is there is a subset

$$\iota_I : D_I(d_1, d_2) \to \overline{M}_n(\Sigma) \tag{25}$$

where the markings for $i \in I$ have bubbled off onto an (unparametrized) sphere bubble of homology class d_1. There is homeomorphism

$$\varphi_I : D_I(d_1, d_2) \cong (\overline{M}_{0,|I|+1}(X, d_1) \times_X \overline{M}^{\mathrm{fr}}_{n-|I|+1}(X, \Sigma, d_2)_\epsilon)/K^{n-|I|+1} \tag{26}$$

where $K^{n-|I|+1}$ acts on the original framings and the last copy acts diagonally on the extra framing and the moduli space of stable maps $\overline{M}_{0,|I|+1}(X, d_1)$, defined by identifying the two extra markings.

4.4. Semistable gauged maps.

Let X be a smooth projective G-variety and Σ a smooth projective curve, all defined over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 4.4.1. An *prestable gauged map* from Σ to X over a scheme S consists of a datum (C, P, u) where

(a) $C \to S$ is a flat family of prestable curves;
(b) $P \to \Sigma \times S$ is a principal G-bundle;
(c) $u : C \to P(X) := (P \times X)/G$ is a family of stable maps with base class $[\Sigma]$, that is, the composition of u with the projection $P(X) \to \Sigma$ has homology class $[\Sigma]$.

An *morphism* from (S, C, P, u) to (S', C, P', u') consists of

(a) A morphism $\beta : S \to S'$;
(b) A morphism $\phi : P \to (\beta \times 1)^* P'$;
(c) A morphism $\alpha : C \to C'$;

such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"'] & S \times \Sigma \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & , & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, ". \alpha"'] & P(X) \arrow[d, "{[\phi \times \mathrm{id}_X]}"] \\
(\beta \times 1)^* P' \arrow[r] & S \times \Sigma & & C' \arrow[r] & P'(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ denote the category of n-marked prestable gauged maps. The functor $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X) \to \text{Scheme}, (S, C, P, u) \mapsto S$ makes $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ into a category fibered in groupoids.

Theorem 4.4.2. *$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ is a (non-finite-type, non-separated) Artin stack.*

References for stacks are Laumon/Moret-Bailly [41] and Olsson [52].

Proof. We construct an Artin atlas as follows. Locally, $\operatorname{Hom}(\Sigma, \operatorname{pt}/G)$ may be presented as a quotient stack of a quasiprojective variety R by a reductive group H (see for example Seshadri [61] and Ramanathan [57]) and R is equipped with a universal G-bundle $U \to R$, equivariant for the H-action. The morphism $R/H \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Sigma, \operatorname{pt}/G)$ is an Artin chart. Let $U(X) \to R \times \Sigma$ denote the associated X-bundle. Since U is smooth quasiprojective G-bundle, $U(X)$ is a smooth quasiprojective variety. Let $d_{U(X)} \in H_2(U(X))$ denote the image of $\pi_2^* d \in H_2^G(U \times X)$ in $H_2(U(X))$, where $\pi_2 : U \times X \to X$ is the projection. Consider the moduli stack of stable maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(U(X), d_{U(X)})$. The action of H on U induces a natural action of H on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(U(X), d_{U(X)})$ by translation. The quotient $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(U(X), d_{U(X)})/H$ maps naturally to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$. Thus any atlas for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(U(X), d_{U(X)})/H$ defines an atlas for the subset of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ covering R/H. As R/H varies these maps define an atlas for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$. The remainder of the proof is left to the reader; we remark that the quotient of a stack is again a stack, see e.g. [35, Appendix]. □

Mundet's stability condition is defined in terms of parabolic reductions as follows. First recall the *associated graded* of a principal G-bundle, as in Ramanathan [57].

Definition 4.4.3. A *parabolic reduction* of P consists of a parabolic subgroup R and a section $\sigma : \Sigma \to P/R$. Let L denote the Levi of R, $p : R \to L$ the projection, $i : L \to G$ the inclusion, $\sigma^* P$ denote the associated R bundle, $p_* \sigma^* P$ the associated L-bundle, and $\operatorname{Gr}(P) = i_* p_* \sigma^* P$ the *associated graded* G-bundle.

If $G = GL(n)$, then a parabolic reduction is equivalent to a filtration of the associated vector bundle and $\operatorname{Gr}(P)$ is the frame bundle of the associated graded vector bundle. Given a stable section $u : C \to P(X)$, one can also define the *associated graded section*:

Definition 4.4.4. Let Z denote the center of L, $\mathfrak{z}$ its Lie algebra, and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}$. The induced family of automorphisms ϕ of R by $z^\lambda = \exp(\ln(z)\lambda)$ by conjugation induce a family of bundles $P_{\sigma,\lambda} := j_*((\sigma^* P \times \mathbb{C}) \times_\phi R)$ with central fiber $\mathrm{Gr}(P)$, where $j : R \to G$ is the inclusion. The stable section u extends canonically to a section $\mathrm{Gr}(u)$ of $(\mathrm{Gr}(P))(X)$.

Suppose that λ is identified with a weight in $\mathfrak{z}^*$ via the inner product on $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. The *degree* of the pair (σ, λ) is defined by

$$\deg(\sigma,\lambda) = \int_\Sigma c_1(p_*\sigma^* P \times_L \mathbb{C}_\lambda) + \epsilon^{-1}\langle P(\Phi) \circ \mathrm{Gr}(u)_0, \lambda\rangle \operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma \tag{27}$$

where $\mathrm{Gr}(u)_0 : \Sigma \to P(X)$ denotes the principal component of $\mathrm{Gr}(u) : C \to P(X)$. The pair$(P,u)$ is *stable* iff $\deg(\sigma,\lambda) < 0$ for all pairs (σ,λ), *unstable* if there exists a de-stabilizing pair (σ,λ) with strict equality, *semistable* if it is not unstable, and *polystable* if it is semistable but not stable and (P,u) is isomorphic to its associated graded for any pair (σ,λ) satisfying the above with equality.

Mundet's theorem [49] gives the equivalence of the stability condition with the existence of a solution to the vortex equations. We will need a slightly stronger version that works for families over a scheme S. We say that a prestable gauged map (P,u) over a scheme S *has finite automorphism groups* if every fiber (P_s,u_s) has finite automorphism group, and is *stable* if every fiber (P_s,u_s) is stable.

Theorem 4.4.5 (Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for vortices, family version)**.** *A prestable gauged map (P,u) over a scheme S with finite automorphism groups is stable iff there exists a continuous family $g_s \in \mathcal{G}(P), s \in S$ of complex gauge transformations so that $g_s(A_s,u_s), s \in S$ is a continuous family of symplectic vortices; if so, the complex gauge transformation $g_s \in \mathcal{G}(P)$ is unique up to a continuous family of unitary gauge transformations $k_s \in \mathcal{K}(P), s \in S$.*

Proof. For S a point, this is [49] in slightly different language; Mundet does not define the associated graded of the section but instead works with the asymptotic value of $\phi(A,u) := F_A + u^*P(\Phi)\operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma$, which is the same as the value of ϕ on the associated graded pair. Indeed, F_A and $u^*P(\Phi)$ in the L^2-topology under Gromov convergence 4.3.2, and so ϕ is continuous in L^2. For S an arbitrary scheme, Mundet's functional [49] extends to a family

$$\Psi : S \times \mathfrak{k}(P) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{grad}(\Psi|\{s\} \times \mathfrak{k}(P)) = \phi(\exp(i\xi)(A_s,u_s))$$

where is strictly convex on each fiber $\{s\} \times \mathfrak{k}(P)$.

By Mundet's theorem, the family is semistable iff each restriction $\Psi|\{s\} \times \mathfrak{k}(P)$ has a critical point, necessarily unique, which defines the gauge transformation $g = \exp(i\xi)$ so that $g_s(A_s,u_s)$ is a vortex. Since ϕ is continuous in s in the L^2-topology, g_s is continuous in s. □

Note that this theorem is far from a complete package that appears in the works of Kempf-Ness [37], Kirwan [39], and Ness [51]. We hope to return in the future to issues such as type stratification, convergence of the heat flow etc. In this paper however will need only the (semi)stable stratum.

Lemma 4.4.6. *The ϵ-semistability condition is open in families, so that the subcategory $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ whose points are gauged maps satisfying the ϵ-semistability condition is an open substack.*

Proof. Suppose that (P, u) is a family of gauged maps over a base scheme S, and (σ, λ) is a weighted parabolic reduction which is destabilizing on the general fiber. It suffices to show that (σ, λ) is destabilizing on the special fiber. Shatz [62] proves that the first term in the degree (27) is constant under specialization. The second term in (27) is upper semi-continuous, because it is so pointwise for the action of G on X:

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \lim_{t \to -\infty} \langle \Phi(\exp(it\lambda)u_z), \lambda \rangle \leq \lim_{t \to -\infty} \langle \Phi(\exp(it\lambda)u_0), \lambda \rangle$$

since the action of $\exp(it\lambda)$ for $t < 0$ only decreases $\langle \Phi, \lambda \rangle$. The lemma follows. □

Proposition 4.4.7. *Suppose that every ϵ-semistable pair has finite automorphism group. Then the map assigning to any stable gauged map the corresponding symplectic vortex defines a homeomorphism from the coarse moduli space of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$ to the moduli space of vortices $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$.*

Proof. We have $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), d)_\epsilon / H$ as in the construction of Artin charts in the proof of Theorem 4.4.2, where the subscript ϵ denotes the open substack of ϵ-stable maps. It follows that the coarse moduli space for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), d)_\epsilon / H$ is $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), d)_\epsilon / H$, the quotient of the ϵ-stable locus in the coarse moduli space of curves $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), d)$ by H. The Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for families Theorem 4.4.5 implies that the map $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), d)_\epsilon / H \to \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ mapping each pair (P, u) to the corresponding symplectic vortex is continuous. A continuous inverse is given as follows. Let (A_s, u_s) be a Gromov-continuous family of vortices. Since R/H is a chart for the moduli stack of bundles and A_s is C^0-continuous in s, the family A_s may be represented by a C^0-map $r : S \to R$. Since u_s is Gromov-continuous in s, the pair $(r_s, u_s) \in \overline{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), d_{U(X)})$ varies continuously in s, hence in the quotient $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$. □

Corollary 4.4.8. *Suppose that every semistable vortex is stable, that is, all automorphism groups of vortices are finite. For each constant $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$ with $\langle d, [\omega_K] \rangle < c$ is a proper smooth Deligne-Mumford stack.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4.6, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ has the structure of a smooth Artin stack, which is Deligne-Mumford by the assumption of finite automorphism groups. It remains to show properness of $\cup_d \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$, which is equivalent to properness of the coarse moduli space $\cup_d \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$, see [16, Theorem 3.1], which in turn follows from compactness and Hausdorff-ness in Theorem 4.3.4. □

Let $\operatorname{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to (X/G)^n$ denote the evaluation map at the marked points, $(S, P, C, u, \underline{z}) \mapsto (S, \underline{z}^* P, u \circ \underline{z})$. Let ev^* denote the induced pull-back in rational cohomology,

$$\operatorname{ev}^* : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \to H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Lemma 4.4.9. *There exists a forgetful morphism* $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$ *which maps* $(C, P, u, \underline{z})$ *to the stable* Σ*-rooted curve obtained from* $(C, \pi \circ u, \underline{z})$ *by collapsing unstable components.*

Proof. Write $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$ as the quotient of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), (1, d))$ by a group H, as in the presentation by Artin charts in Theorem 4.4.2. The existence of a morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X), (1, d)) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma)$ is standard, see Behrend-Manin [6]. The restriction to the ϵ-semistable locus factors through the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$. □

Denote by $f^* : H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Q}) \to H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q})$ the induced pull-back in rational cohomology of coarse moduli spaces.

4.5. **Gauged Gromov-Witten invariants.**

Theorem 4.5.1. *Let* X *be a smooth projective* G*-variety. The stable locus in* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ *has a canonical perfect obstruction theory.*

Proof. (c.f. Frenkel-Teleman-Tolland [19, Section 5].) Let $p : \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_n(\Sigma, X) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ denote the universal curve, obtained by specifying a section w of the family $C \to S$. The morphism

$$e : \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_n(\Sigma, X) \to X/G, \quad (S, C, P, u, w) \mapsto (S, \pi^* u^* w^* P, u^* \circ w)$$

is the *universal evaluation map*, where $\pi : P(X) \to \Sigma$ is the projection, so $\pi \circ u : C \to \Sigma$. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, \mathrm{pt})$ denote the stack of prestable gauged maps to a point equipped with the trivial G-action. By Behrend-Fantechi [5, Section 7] the (representable, Deligne-Mumford) morphism $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X) \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, \mathrm{pt})$ has a perfect relative obstruction theory given by push-pull $Rp_* e^* TX$ using the univeral morphism ev and projection f from the universal curve over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$, see [19, Section 5]. One obtains an absolute obstruction theory of amplitude $[-1, 1]$ by combining with the cotangent complex for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, \mathrm{pt})$, see Graber-Pandharipande [27, Appendix] for the case of ordinary Gromov-Witten theory. This restricts on the stable locus in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ to a perfect obstruction theory, since the stability condition guarantees no infinitesimal automorphisms and so amplitude in $[0, 1]$. □

Corollary 4.5.2. *Suppose that every semistable gauged map is stable, that is, has finite automorphism group. Then* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ *has a canonical virtual fundamental* $[\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon] \in H_{\dim(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon)}(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. By the previous Theorem, and Behrend-Fantechi [5, Section 7]. □

Pairing with the virtual fundamental class gives a map

$$\int_{[\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon]} : H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon,\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}.$$

The *gauged Gromov-Witten invariant* associated to $\alpha \in H_G(X,\mathbb{Q})^n, \beta \in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma),\mathbb{Q})$ is

$$\langle \alpha;\beta\rangle_{d,\epsilon} = \int_{[\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon]} \operatorname{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^*\beta. \tag{28}$$

We denote by Λ_X^G the *equivariant Novikov ring* for X, as the set of maps $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(H_2^G(X,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Q})$ such that for any constant C, the set

$$\{\lambda \in H_2^G(X,\mathbb{Z}) | \langle \lambda, [\omega_K]\rangle < \Sigma, \quad \varphi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$$

is finite. Summing over homology classes gives a map

$$\tau^n_{X,G} : QH_G(X)^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)) \to \Lambda_X^G, \qquad \sum_{d \in H_2^G(X)} e^d \langle \alpha;\beta\rangle_{d,\epsilon}.$$

The compactness result of Theorem 4.3.4 implies that the sum is well-defined.

The invariants satisfy the following splitting axiom.

Theorem 4.5.3. *Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is such that every element of $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\epsilon$ has finite automorphism group. Then the invariants $\tau^n_{X,G}$ define a cohomological trace on the cohomological field theory $GW_G(X)$ of Theorem 3.2.3.*

Proof. The inclusion ι_I of the boundary divisor D_I is compatible with the perfect obstruction theories, by the same argument in [4]. (In any Artin chart one has compatibility for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times U(X))$ as in [4], and compatibility descends to the quotient by H.) The splitting axiom (20) follows as in [4] and (26):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^n_{X,G}(\alpha;\beta\wedge\delta_I) &= \sum_d e^d \int_{[f^{-1}D_I]} \iota_I^*(\operatorname{ev}^*\alpha \wedge f^*\beta) \\ &= \sum_{d_1+d_2=d} e^d \int_{[(\overline{M}_{0,|I|+1}(X,d_1)\times_X \overline{M}^{\mathrm{fr}}_{n-|I|+1}(\Sigma,X,d_2)_\epsilon)/G^{n-|I|+1}]} (\varphi_I^{-1})^*\iota_I^* \operatorname{ev}^*\alpha \wedge f^*\beta \\ &= \tau^{n-|I|+1}_{X,G}(\alpha_i, i\notin I, \mu^{|I|}_{X,G}(\alpha_i, i \in I;\cdot);\cdot)(\iota_I^*\beta) \end{aligned}$$

where ι_I is the inclusion of the divisor as in (25). □

4.6. **Reducible vortices.**

Definition 4.6.1. A prestable gauged map (C,A,u) is *reducible* if it is fixed by the infinitesimal action of an infinitesimal gauge transformation $\zeta \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$, that is, $\mathrm{d}_A\zeta = 0, \zeta_X(u) = 0$.

See (23) for the definition of ζ_X. Since the stabilizer group of any vortex (A, u) is a compact Lie group, a vortex is reducible if and only if the stabilizer of (A, u) is infinite. Reducible vortices play a key role in the wall-crossing formula Theorem 5.6.1. In this section we investigate the relationship between reducibles and polystable vortices with smaller structure group. The name is justified by the following: Let $\zeta \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ be an infinitesimal gauge transformation fixing (C, A, u). Fix a base point $z \in \Sigma$ and a trivialization of the fiber $\phi_z : P_z \to K$. Let $\zeta(z) \in \operatorname{Aut}(P_z) \cong K$ denote the evaluation of ζ at z and K_ζ the centralizer of the one-parameter subgroup generated by $\zeta(z)$.

Lemma 4.6.2. *If (C, A, u) is fixed by the infinitesimal action of $\zeta \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ then*

(a) *Parallel transport by A defines a reduction P_ζ of structure group of P to K_ζ;*
(b) *the image of u is contained in the fixed point set $P(X)^\zeta = P_\zeta(X^{\zeta(z)})$, and so defines a section u_ζ of $P_\zeta(X^{\zeta(z)})$.*

Proof. Let P, z, ϕ_z, K_ζ be as above. Parallel transport of $\phi_z^{-1}(K^\zeta)$ using A defines a reduction $P_\zeta \subset P$, since the holonomies of A are contained in K^ζ, and restriction of A to P_ζ defines the connection A_ζ. Since u is fixed by the infinitesimal action of ζ, the image of u is contained in the fixed point set. ☐

Corollary 4.6.3. *If (A, u) is a reducible vortex then there exists a reduction P_1 of P of structure group (of principal bundles with connection) from K to a compact subgroup K_1 so that u takes values in $P_1(X^{K_1})$ and the corresponding datum (A_1, u_1) is a stable K_1-vortex.*

Proof. Stability simply means that the stabilizer under the gauge group is finite; we may apply Lemma 4.6.2 until this is the case. ☐

Next we show that in good cases, reducibles occur for a discrete set of values of the vortex parameter. First we show:

Lemma 4.6.4. *Suppose that the image of u is contained in a component X_i^ζ of a fixed point set X^ζ for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$ with $\langle \Phi(X_i^\zeta), \zeta \rangle \neq 0$. Then there is a discrete set $Z(X_i^\zeta) \subset (0, \infty)$ such that if u is part of an ϵ-vortex (A, u), then $\epsilon \in Z(X_i^\zeta)$.*

Proof. Pair $F_A = -\epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi)$ with ζ. Since $\langle \Phi, \zeta \rangle$ is constant on X_i^ζ, the pointwise pairing $\langle F_A, \zeta \rangle$ is constant. As in e.g. Atiyah-Bott [2], the adjoint orbit of $\int_\Sigma \langle F_A, \zeta \rangle$ passes through an element of a finite quotient of Λ_ζ, where Λ_ζ is the coweight lattice of K_ζ (namely the coweight lattice of the quotient of K_ζ by its center). Hence $\epsilon^{-1} \int_\Sigma \langle \Phi(X_i^\zeta), \zeta \rangle$ lies in this lattice as well, which can happen for only a discrete set of values of ϵ. ☐

Definition 4.6.5. We say that $X = (X, \omega, \Phi)$ is *generic* iff for all $x \in X$ and rational $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that ξ fixes x (that is, $\xi_X(x) = 0$) the pairing $\langle \Phi(x), \xi \rangle$ is non-zero, or equivalently, if the symplectic quotient of X by any one-parameter subgroup of G is a locally free quotient.

It is easy to see that the definition of generic implies that the symplectic quotient of X by *any* subgroup of G is a locally free quotient.

Proposition 4.6.6. *Suppose that X is a* generic *compact Hamiltonian K-manifold. There exists a discrete set of values $Z \subset (0,\infty)$ such that if $\epsilon \notin Z$, then every polystable vortex is stable.*

Proof. Since the orbit-type decomposition of X is finite, and each component of X^ζ is equal to the closure of some X_H, there are only finitely many possibilities for X^ζ. For each X^ζ, by Lemma 4.6.4 the set of vortex parameters ϵ such that there exists a reducible vortex with image in X^ζ is discrete, which completes the proof. □

Remark 4.6.7. Up to finite cover, the stabilizer K_ζ splits as $K_\zeta/U(1)_\zeta \times U(1)_\zeta$. Therefore, in particular we obtain a vortex for the action of $K_\zeta/U(1)_\zeta$ on the fixed point set X^ζ. Later we will be particularly interested in the case that all such vortices are stable (that is, not reducible to a further subgroup.)

Remark 4.6.8. A pair (σ,λ) is *Jordan-Hölder* if the associated graded $(\mathrm{Gr}(P),\mathrm{Gr}(u))$ is polystable; the Jordan-Hölder pair is not unique but the associated graded is unique up to isomorphism, and the map from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma,X)_\lambda$ to $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X)_\lambda$ assigning to each pair (P,u) the vortex underlying the associated graded of any Jordan-Hölder pair, is continuous [1], c.f. [32, Proposition 1.13] for a similar result for framed sheaves. For a reducible polystable pair (P,u) with a single infinitesimal automorphism ζ consider the splitting $Rp^0e^*T(X/G) = (Rp^0e^*(T(X/G)))^+ \oplus (Rp^0e^*(T(X/G))^- \oplus (Rp^0e^*T(X/G))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ into positive, negative, and zero weight spaces; then the fiber over (P,u) may be identified with the union $(Rp^0e^*(T(X/G)))^+ \cup (Rp^0e^*(T(X/G))^-$, c.f. [65, Section 5] for the corresponding situation in the case of Higgs bundles.

4.7. **Regular vortices.** In this and the following section, we develop the symplectic approach to defining the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants. As for holomorphic maps, the moduli space of polystable vortices has a formal tangent space given by the kernel of a certain linearized operator. A vortex is *regular* if this operator is surjective, and if every vortex is regular then there is a naive approach to defining the invariants. Let (A,u) be an ϵ-vortex on Σ. Define

$$\mathcal{F}^\epsilon_{A,u} : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^*T^{\mathrm{vert}}P(X)) \\ \to (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega^2)(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^*T^{\mathrm{vert}}P(X)) \tag{29}$$

by

$$(a,\xi) \mapsto \left(F_{A+a} + \epsilon^{-1}\operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma \exp_\xi(u)^*\Phi, \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}(a,\xi), \Theta_u(\xi)^{-1}\overline{\partial}_{A+a}\exp_u(\xi)\right)$$

where $\Theta_u(\xi)$ is parallel transport along $\exp_u(t\xi)$.

Proposition 4.7.1. [11, Section 4] *The moduli space* $M(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ *is locally isomorphic to the quotient of* $(\mathcal{F}^\epsilon_{A,u})^{-1}(0)$ *by* $\operatorname{Aut}(A,u)$.

The linearization of this map is described as follows. Define

$$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{d}_{A,u} : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X)) \to \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \\ \mathrm{d}_{A,u}(a,\xi) := \mathrm{d}_A a + \epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma u^* L_\xi P(\Phi). \end{gathered}$$

Also define

$$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u} : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X)) \to \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \\ \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}(a,\xi) = *(\mathrm{d}_A * a + \epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma u^* L_{J\xi} P(\Phi)). \end{gathered}$$

By [11, Section 4], if (A,u) is stable then the set

$$S_{A,u} = \{(A+a, \exp_u(\xi)), (a,\xi) \in \ker \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}\} \tag{30}$$

is a slice for the gauge group action near (A,u). Let

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma, u^*TX), \quad a \mapsto a_X$$

denote the map induced by the infinitesimal action. The linearization of the parametrized Cauchy-Riemann operator is

$$D_{A,u}(a,\xi) = (\nabla_A \xi)^{0,1} + \frac{1}{2} J_u (\nabla_\xi J)_u \partial u + a_X^{0,1}.$$

Here $0,1$ denotes projection on the $0,1$-component.

Definition 4.7.2. For a vortex (A,u) let $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ denote the *linearized operator*

$$\tilde{D}_{A,u} = (\mathrm{d}_{A,u}, \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}, D_{A,u}), \tag{31}$$

which is the linearization of $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon_{A,u}$ at (A,u). (A,u) is *regular* if the operator $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ is surjective. A marked vortex $(A,u,\underline{z})$ is regular if the underlying unmarked vortex is regular. For a polystable ϵ-vortex (C,A,u) with $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$, let $\tilde{C}$ denote the normalization of C and $\tilde{D}_{C,A,u}$ the *linearized operator*

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^0(C, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X)) \to (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega^2)(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\tilde{C}, u^*TX). \tag{32}$$

given by the sum of the operators $\mathrm{d}_{A,u}, \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}$ and the linearized Cauchy-Riemann operators $\tilde{D}_{u_j}$ on the bubbles. See [25] for the definition of the operator $\mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}$; its kernel gives a slice for the action of the group of gauge transformations. (A, u) is *regular* if $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ is surjective. The *space of infinitesimal deformations* $\operatorname{Def}(A,u)$ is the quotient of $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ by the image of the space $\operatorname{aut}(C)$ of infinitesimal automorphisms of the rooted curve C.

Remark 4.7.3. In the case of holomorphic maps, the addition of marked points changes the definition of regularity, because one can consider the resulting family of curves as a family with fixed markings and varying complex structure. This is not the case here, because the family of diffeomorphisms that map the family to one with a family with fixed markings produces a varying family of area forms, which we wish to avoid.

Theorem 4.7.4. *Let X be a compact Hamiltonian K-manifold equipped with a compatible invariant almost complex structure. The locus $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ of regular, stable vortices admits the structure of an oriented stratified-smooth topological orbifold.*

Proof. This theorem, except for the orientations, is proved in [25]. To see that $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X)$ is oriented, note that since $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is contractible, the linearized operator $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ admits a deformation to $\tilde{D}_{A_0,u}$, for any choice of base connection A_0, and any two such deformations are homotopic. The operator $\tilde{D}_{A_0,u}$ admits a deformation (by sending the off-diagonal terms to zero) to the sum of the operators $\mathrm{d}_{A_0} \oplus \mathrm{d}_{A_0}^*$ and $D_{A_0,u}$. The determinant line of the latter admits a canonical orientation as in [46] by deforming the linearized Cauchy-Riemann operator to a complex-linear operator and using the orientation on the determinant line induced by the complex structure. Standard gluing results (see for example [68]) show that this orientation is continuous over the boundary. □

The evaluation maps in the stack setting above do *not* correspond to maps of the corresponding coarse moduli spaces. Rather, one must pass to classifying spaces for the underlying orbifold before the evaluation map is well-defined. We begin the symplectic discussion with a review of characteristic classes for orbifolds. Recall that a topological groupoid is a groupoid object in the category of topological spaces. The *coarse moduli space* $[X]$ of X is the space of isomorphism classes of objects of X, with topology induced by that on X_0. The *classifying space* of X (or more accurately, its geometric realization) is the topological space defined by

$$BX = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Delta_n \times (X_1 \times_{X_0} \ldots \times_{X_0} X_1) / \sim$$

where Δ_n is the standard n simplex and the quotient $\sim$ is by the relations generated by the face and degeneracy maps as in [60]. A topological *orbifold* is a proper étale topological groupoid X. See e.g. [45] for references. The classifying space construction gives a covariant functor from topological groupoids to topological spaces, that is, any morphism of groupoids $X \to Y$ induces a continuous map $BX \to BY$. The map from X_0 to $[X]$ induces a continuous map $q : BX \to [X]$ whose fibers are isomorphism to the classifying space of the automorphism groups, $q^{-1}(x) = B\,\mathrm{Aut}(x)$. For any orbifold X, pull-back induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology

$$H(BX, \mathbb{Q}) \to H([X], \mathbb{Q}). \tag{33}$$

Indeed, any such classifying space has torsion cohomology,

$$H^k(B\,\mathrm{Aut}(x), \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

For any locally oriented orbifold X, the coarse moduli space $[X]$ has the structure of a rational homology manifold:

$$H_k([X], [X] - \{x\}, \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & k = \dim(X) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

In particular, if X is compact and oriented then X has a rational fundamental class $[X] \in H_{\dim(X)}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, see for example [71].

Let K be a topological group. An *orbifold principal K-bundle* is a groupoid object in the category of principal K-bundles over an orbifold X, that is, a topological groupoid P equipped with a morphism of groupoids $P \to X$, such that each space $P_j \to X_j, j = 0, 1$ is a topological principal K-bundle and the structure maps of P are morphisms of principal K-bundles. The classifying space construction gives a topological principal K-bundle $BP \to BX$. Taking a classifying map for the bundle BP induces a map $H(BK, \mathbb{Q}) \to H(BX, \mathbb{Q})$. Combining this with (33) produces a *rational characteristic class* map

$$H(BK, \mathbb{Q}) \to H([X], \mathbb{Q}). \tag{34}$$

In particular, for moduli spaces such as the moduli space of curves $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, bundles such as the tangent bundle are orbifold vector bundles and so define honest vector bundles on the classifying space $B\overline{M}_{g,n}$, which then define rational characteristic classes on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$.

Suppose that every polystable ϵ-vortex is stable and regular, so that $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)$ is a C^1-orbifold with a locally free action of K^n. Since any local free C^1 action admits slices, $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ has the structure of a orbifold principal K^n-bundle. Assume first that the action of K^n is free. Let $\psi : \overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_d \to EK^n$ be a classifying map. Define *framed evaluation maps*

$$\mathrm{ev}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to X^n, \quad (A, u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{z}) \mapsto \underline{\phi} \circ u(\underline{z}).$$

Combining $\mathrm{ev}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ and ψ gives rise to an equivariant evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev} : \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to X^n_K, \quad [A, u, \underline{z}] \mapsto [\psi(A, u, \underline{z}), \mathrm{ev}^{\mathrm{fr}}(A, u, \underline{z})].$$

If the action of K^n is only locally free, then passing to the classifying spaces gives a topological principal K^n-bundle

$$B\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(P, X)_\epsilon \to B\overline{M}_n(P, X)_\epsilon.$$

Since $\overline{M}_n(P, X)_\epsilon$ is an orbifold, $B\overline{M}_n(P, X)_\epsilon$ has the homotopy type of a CW complex and the bundle above admits a classifying map

$$\psi : B\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to EK^n.$$

On the other hand, the classifying space maps onto the underlying space $B\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to \overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)$. Pulling back the framed evaluation maps gives maps $B\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to X^n$. The combined map $B\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to EK^n \times X^n$ is equivariant for the action of K^n and so descends to a map $B\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to X^n_K$. Pull-back in rational cohomology and descent under the rational equivalence (33) induces a map

$$\mathrm{ev}^* : H_K(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \to H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q}). \tag{35}$$

Denote by $f : \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon \to \overline{M}_n(\Sigma)$ the forgetful morphism obtained by forgetting the data (A, u) and collapsing any unstable component. It follows from the definition of Gromov convergence (4.3.2) for vortices that f is continuous, hence induces a pullback map in cohomology

$$f^* : H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)) \to H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon).$$

The equation (28) then defines gauged Gromov-Witten invariants in this case as well.

4.8. **Relaxed vortices.** The moduli spaces of vortices are not necessarily regular, even in the simplest case that X is convex, since the associated bundle $P(X)$ may not be convex either. In this section we describe a method of defining the invariants in the symplectic context by weakening the vortex equations (introducing an obstruction bundle) in the case that the target is convex; it seems possible that a similar method might define the invariants for arbitrary Hamiltonian K-manifolds; results in this direction have been obtained by A. Ott [53]. For any point $v \in \Sigma$, section $u : \Sigma \to P(X)$, and connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, construct a map

$$\eta_v : \Lambda^{0,1}(T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{u(v)} P(X)) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}} P(X))$$

as follows. Given $\zeta \in T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{u(v)} P(X)$, radial parallel transport extends ζ to a local section in a neighborhood U of v,

$$\eta_v^{pre}(\exp_{u(v)}(\xi)) = (\Theta_{u(v)}(\xi))\zeta.$$

Let $\rho : U \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function supported in a small neighborhood of $u(v)$, and define

$$\eta_v(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \rho(x)\eta_v^{pre}(x) & \exists \xi, x = \exp_{u(v)}(\xi) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For any collection of distinct points

$$\underline{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_l) \in \Sigma^l$$

and cutoff functions

$$\underline{\rho} = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_l) \in C^\infty(\Sigma)^l$$

consider the forms $\eta_{v_i}(\zeta_i), i = 1, \ldots, l$. If the cutoff functions are chosen so that the supports are disjoint then these forms are linearly independent. We denote by

$$\mathcal{R}(P, X) \to \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(P(X))$$

the vector bundle with fiber

$$\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v})_{A,u} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \Lambda^{0,1}(T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{u(v_j)} P(X)).$$

Definition 4.8.1. A datum $(A, u, \underline{\zeta}) \in \mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v})$ is a *partially relaxed ϵ-vortex* iff

$$F_A + \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma\, u^* P(\Phi) = 0, \quad \overline{\partial}_A u + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \eta_{v_j}(\zeta_j) = 0. \tag{36}$$

The *linearized operator* for a partially relaxed vortex $(A, u, \underline{\zeta})$ is obtained by replacing $D_{A,u}$ in the definition of $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ with the operator

$$D_{A,u,\underline{\zeta}}(a, \xi, \beta) = D_{A,u}(a, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \eta_{v_j}(\beta_j) + (D_\xi \eta_{v_j})(\zeta_j).$$

The intersection of the zero section of $\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v})$ with the space of partially relaxed vortices may be identified with the space of ordinary vortices. More explicitly, let $p^*\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v})$ denote the pull-back bundle over $\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v})$ by $p : \mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v}) \to \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(P(X))$. The identity map defines a section of $p^*\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v})$, so that $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ is the zero section.

More informally, the space of partially relaxed vortices may be identified with the space of pairs (A, u) that satisfy the vortex equations up to the finite dimensional subspace generated by the forms $\eta_{v_i}(\cdot)$, that is, satisfy a relaxed version of the symplectic vortex equations.

Given a collection $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k) \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$, let $\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})$ be the vector bundle with fibers

$$\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})_{A,u} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \Lambda^{0,1}(T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{u(v_j)} P(X)) \oplus \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ be a collection of real numbers.

Definition 4.8.2. A datum $(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is a *relaxed ϵ-vortex* iff

$$F_A + \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma \, u^* P(\Phi) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \theta_i = 0, \quad \overline{\partial}_A u + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \eta_{v_i}(\zeta_i) = 0. \tag{37}$$

The *linearized operator* for a vortex $(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is obtained by replacing $\mathrm{d}_{A,u}$ in the linearized operator for the partially relaxed vortex with the operator

$$\mathrm{d}_{A,u,\underline{\lambda}}(a, \xi, \gamma) = \mathrm{d}_{A,u}(a, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \theta_j.$$

Suppose that $\underline{\theta}$ is chosen so that the span is invariant under $\mathcal{K}(P)$. Then the action of the group $\mathcal{K}(P)$ of gauge transformations on $\mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(P(X))$ lifts to an action on $\mathcal{R}(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})$ by push-forward of $\underline{\zeta}$ and the given action on $\underline{\lambda}$, and preserves the relaxed vortex equation in Definition 4.8.2. A relaxed vortex is *stable* if it has finite automorphism group, that is, its stabilizer under the gauge group is finite.

Let $M(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})_\epsilon$ denote the moduli space of relaxed vortices, and $M^{\mathrm{reg}}(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})_\epsilon$ the subset of stable, regular relaxed vortices, that is, those for which the linearized operator is surjective and the automorphism group is finite. Marked and framed versions are defined as before:

Definition 4.8.3. A *relaxed prestable vortex* on Σ is a datum of a principal bundle $P \to \Sigma$ with connection A, a stable map $u : C \to P(X)$, an element $\underline{\zeta} \in T^{\mathrm{vert}}_{u(\underline{v})} P(X)$ and an element $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ so that $(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is a relaxed vortex on Σ. A relaxed vortex is *polystable* if each bubble on which the section is constant has at least three special points, and *stable* if it has finite automorphism group. The *linearized operator* for $(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is obtained from the linearized operator $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ by replacing D_{A,u_0} with $D_{A,u_0,\underline{\zeta}}$ and d_{A,u_0} with $\mathrm{d}_{A,u_0,\underline{\lambda}}$ as in Definitions 4.8.1, 4.8.2. The datum $(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is *regular* if $D_{A,u,\underline{\lambda},\underline{\zeta}}$ is surjective. The *space of infinitesimal deformations* $\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ *of fixed type* is the quotient of $\tilde{D}_{A,u,\underline{\lambda},\underline{\zeta}}$ by the image of the space $\mathrm{aut}(C)$ of infinitesimal automorphisms of the rooted curve C. The *space of infinitesimal deformations* $\mathrm{Def}(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is the sum

$$\mathrm{Def}(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta}) = \mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} T_{w_j^+} C \otimes T_{w_j^-} C$$

where the second factor is the space of "gluing parameters".

Let $\overline{M}_n(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})_\epsilon$ denote the moduli space of isomorphism classes of relaxed polystable vortices with n markings $\underline{z}$ (disjoint from the nodes but possibly equal to the points $\underline{v}$), $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})_\epsilon$ the locus of regular, stable relaxed vortices.

Theorem 4.8.4. *Suppose that X is a compact Hamiltonian K-manifold equipped with a compatible invariant almost complex structure. Then $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta})_\epsilon$ has the structure of a stratified-smooth topological orbifold, and (non-canonically) the structure of C^1-orbifold.*

The proof of this theorem uses the gluing construction of [25]. Namely, for any regular stable relaxed vortex $(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ the gluing construction of [25] gives rise to maps

$$\mathrm{Def}(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})_\rho / \mathrm{Aut}(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta}) \to \overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(P, X, \underline{\theta}, \underline{v})_\epsilon,$$

where $\mathrm{Def}(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})_\rho \subset \mathrm{Def}(A, u, \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\zeta})$ is a ball of some radius ρ around 0. The rigidification construction of [25] shows that these maps are homeomorphisms onto their images, hence define orbifold charts for $\overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(P, X, \underline{\theta}, \underline{v})_\epsilon$. Stratified-smooth compatibility and C^1-compatibility using the exponential gluing profile follow from differentiability of the evaluation map in these local coordinates, as in [25].

Theorem 4.8.5. *Suppose that $J \in \mathcal{J}(X)^K$ is convex and $d \in H_2^K(X)$. There exists $\underline{v}$ and $\underline{\theta}$ so that in a neighborhood of $\overline{M}_n(P, X, d)_\epsilon$ in $\overline{M}_n(P, X, \underline{v}, \underline{\theta}, d)_\epsilon$, every relaxed, stable vortex is regular.*

Proof. It suffices to choose $\underline{v}$ and $\underline{\theta}$ so that if $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ lies in the cokernel of $D_{A,u} \oplus \mathrm{d}_{A,u}$ for $(A, u) \in \overline{M}_n(P, X, d)_\epsilon$ then either β_1 is non-vanishing at at least one of the points $v_j, j = 1, \ldots, l$ or β_2 pairs non-trivially with some $\theta_i, i = 1, \ldots, k$. This choice is possible since $\overline{M}_n(P, X, d)_\epsilon$ is compact. Then every element of $\overline{M}_n(P, X, d)_\epsilon$ is regular as a relaxed vortex, and since regularity is an open condition the claim follows. □

The bundle $R(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})$ over $\mathcal{A}(P)\times\Gamma(P(X))$ descends to an orbifold *obstruction bundle* over the regular, stable locus

$$R(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon \to M^{\mathrm{reg}}(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon$$

equipped with a canonical section given by the identity map, so that the zero set is the moduli space $M(P,X)_\epsilon$ of ordinary vortices. It admits a natural partial compactification

$$\overline{R}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon \to \overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon$$

with the same property. If K^n acts freely on the moduli space of framed relaxed vortices we have an evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}: \overline{M}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon \to X_K^n.$$

More generally, in the presence of finite stabilizers the evaluation map ev^* is defined over the rationals, as in (35). Let

$$f: \overline{M}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon \to \overline{M}_n(\Sigma)$$

denote the forgetful morphism. Let $\mathrm{Eul}(\overline{R}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon) \in H_c(\overline{M}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon,\mathbb{Q})$ denote a compactly supported Euler class of the orbifold bundle $\overline{R}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon$, constructed by pulling back a Thom class supported near the zero set in $\overline{R}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon$, under the canonical section. Suppose that every relaxed vortex is stable and regular in a neighborhood of the usual vortices, and the automorphism group is finite.

Definition 4.8.6. Let X be a compact Hamiltonian K-manifold equipped with a convex invariant complex structure $J\in\mathcal{J}(X)^K$. For each $d\in H_2^K(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and parameter ϵ such that every ϵ-vortex has finite automorphism group, let $\underline{v},\underline{\theta}$ so that very vortex is relaxed regular and for $\alpha\in H_K(X,\mathbb{Q})^n, \beta\in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma),\mathbb{Q})$ define

$$\langle\alpha;\beta\rangle_{d,\epsilon} = \sum_P \int_{\overline{M}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta},d)_\epsilon} \mathrm{Eul}(\overline{R}_n(P,X,\underline{v},\underline{\theta})_\epsilon)\wedge \mathrm{ev}^*\alpha\wedge f^*\beta \tag{38}$$

where the finite sum is over types of bundles $P\to\Sigma$ whose first Chern class is the projection of d onto $H_2(BK,\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 4.8.7. *The invariants* (38) *are independent of the choice of* $\underline{v}$ *and* $\underline{\theta}$.

Proof. This is a straightforward application of properties of the Euler class, see for example [12]. □

Note that we do not claim to have proved that the definition via relaxing the vortex equation, is equivalent to the definition via perfect obstruction theory, although one of course expects that the two approaches define the same invariants.

4.9. **Twisted gauged Gromov-Witten invariants.** Returning to the algebraic set-up, we define twisted versions of the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants. Twisting means that the integrals are modified by insertion of Euler classes of index bundles. Given a smooth projective G-variety X and G-vector bundle $E \to X$, let $\operatorname{ev}_{n+1}^* E$ denote its pull-back to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$, and $\operatorname{Ind}(E) := Rf_{n+1,*} \operatorname{ev}_{n+1}^* E$ its push-forward to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$. Consider the equivariant Euler class, equivariant with respect to the $U(1)$-action on the fibers:

$$\operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\operatorname{Ind}(E)) \in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\xi, \xi^{-1}].$$

The corresponding *twisted gauged Gromov-Witten invariant* is

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon,E} = \int_{[\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X,d)_\epsilon]} \operatorname{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \wedge \operatorname{Eul}_{U(1)}(\operatorname{Ind}(E)) \in \mathbb{Q}[\xi, \xi^{-1}]$$

and

$$\tau^n_{X,G,E} : QH_G(X)^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)) \to \Lambda_X^G, \qquad \sum_{d \in H_2^G(X)} e^d \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon,E}.$$

Theorem 4.9.1. *Let X, Σ, E be as above. The twisted gauged Gromov-Witten invariants $\tau^n_{X,G,E}$ define a cohomological trace on $GW_G(X, E)$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.3. □

From the symplectic point of view $\operatorname{Ind}(E)$ is the K-class whose fiber at (A, C, u) is the index of the Fredholm complex

$$\mathrm{d}_A(E) : \Omega^0(C, u^* P(E)) \to \Omega^1(C, u^* P(E)) \to \Omega^2(C, u^* P(E)).$$

The same construction works for a nodal curve of type Γ, and produces a K-class on the stratum $M_{n,\Gamma}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$. The gluing construction for indices of elliptic operators [68, Theorem 2.4.1] implies that the K-classes over the various strata fit together to a rational K-class $\operatorname{Ind}(E)$ on $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$.

5. AREA DEPENDENCE

In this section we study the variation of the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants with respect to the vortex parameter ϵ, that is, the dependence on the area of the curve. We remark that by Moser's theorem [48], any two area forms on Σ with the same area are equivalent up to diffeomorphism. Thus it suffices to consider linear variations of area form.

5.1. **Polarized vortices.** Using the *Chern-Simons line bundle* [55], [47] we construct a *master space* for the moduli spaces of symplectic vortices with varying vortex parameter, that is, a finite-dimensional (possibly singular and degenerate)

Hamiltonian $U(1)$-space whose symplectic quotients are $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$. Fix a base connection A_0. The trivial line bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P) := \mathcal{A}(P) \times \mathbb{C}$ with connection 1-form

$$\alpha \in \Omega^1(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)), \quad \alpha_A(a, \lambda) = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma (a \wedge (A - A_0))$$

has curvature equal to $-2\pi i$ times the symplectic form corresponding to the inner product. One may use the Chern-Simons three-form to show that the canonical infinitesimal action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ defined by the moment map defines an action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$. Let

$$\phi : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{A} \mapsto |\tilde{A}|$$

denote the norm function. Consider on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ the closed two-form given by $\mathrm{d}(\phi\, \alpha)$. The action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ is Hamiltonian with moment map given by $\tilde{A} \mapsto \phi(\tilde{A}) F_A$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X)$ denote the pull-back of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ to $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$, that is,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X) = \{(\tilde{A}, u) \mid \overline{\partial}_A u = 0\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P) \times \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, P(X)).$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X)$ has a formal symplectic structure so that the action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ has formal moment map given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X) \to \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})), \quad \tilde{A} \mapsto \phi(\tilde{A}) F_A + \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma\, u^* P(\Phi).$$

This motivates the following definition:

Definition 5.1.1. A *polarized vortex* is pair $(\tilde{A}, u) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X)$ such that the projection $(A, u) \in \mathcal{H}(P, X)$ is an $\phi(\tilde{A})$-vortex. An *isomorphism* of polarized vortices $(\tilde{A}_j, u_j), j = 0, 1$ is an element $k \in \mathcal{K}(P)$ such that $k(\tilde{A}_0, u_0) = (\tilde{A}_1, u_1)$. The pair $(\tilde{A}, u)$ is *stable* if it has finite automorphism group.

Proposition 5.1.2. *If X is generic as in Definition 4.6.5, then every polarized vortex is stable.*

Proof. A gauged holomorphic map $(\tilde{A}, u)$ is fixed by a one-parameter subgroup $\xi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ if and only if (A, u) is ξ-fixed and ξ acts trivially on the fiber, that is, $(\xi, F_A) = 0$. Now if A is ξ-fixed then the structure group reduces to the centralizer K_ξ of the evaluation of ξ at a base point, and we may assume that ξ is a constant gauge transformation. By the vortex equation $\langle u^* P(\Phi), \xi \rangle$ also vanishes, which implies that u maps to a component X_i^ξ of the fixed point set X^ξ such that $\langle \Phi(X_i^\xi), \xi \rangle$ vanishes, which contradicts the genericity assumption. ☐

5.2. **Compactification.** $L(\Sigma, X)$ admits a natural partial compactification by *polystable polarized vortices*, which allow bubbling of u in the fibers of $P(X)$.

Definition 5.2.1. A *prestable polarized vortex* consists of a prestable gauged map (A, u), together with a lift of $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ to an element $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$. An *isomorphism* of prestable polarized vortices is an automorphism of the domain and a gauge transformation mapping one to the other. A *marked* polarized vortex is a marked vortex $(C, A, \underline{z}, u)$, equipped with a lift of A to an element $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$. A *framed polarized vortex* is a framed polystable vortex together together with a lift $\tilde{A}$ of A. A prestable polarized vortex is polystable if each bubble component has at least three special points. A polystable polarized vortex $(\tilde{A}, u)$ is *stable* if its automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{A}, u)$ is finite.

Remark 5.2.2. By definition we have an injection $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{A}, u) \to \mathrm{Aut}(A, u)$. Thus, if $(\tilde{A}, u)$ is unstable, then so is its projection (A, u). It is a crucial point for this paper that *the converse does not hold*: there exist stable polarized vortices whose underlying vortices are only polystable. This is what makes it possible to obtain wall-crossing formulas.

Let $\overline{L}(P, X)$ denote the moduli space of polystable polarized vortices, and $\overline{L}(\Sigma, X)$ the union over topological types of bundles $P \to \Sigma$. The definition of the Gromov topology on $\overline{L}(\Sigma, X)$ is similar to that of $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X)$, and will be omitted. Let $\overline{L}(\Sigma, X, d)$ denote the component of homology class $d \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$ resp. $\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X, d)$ denote the moduli space of polystable marked, resp. framed vortices of homology class d. This space is certainly *not* compact, because the value of the norm ϕ can run off to 0 or ∞. The correct analog of compactness is the properness statement in the theorem below:

Theorem 5.2.3. *$\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)$ is a Hausdorff topological space. For any $c > 0$, the map ϕ extends to a continuous proper map*

$$\bigcup_{\langle d, [\omega_K] \rangle < c} \overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X, d) \to (0, \infty).$$

For parameters $\epsilon_- < \epsilon_+$ we denote by $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$ the cut space defined in (8) (we use the same definition although $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)$ is only formally symplectic)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]} &:= \overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X) \times \mathbb{P}^1_{-[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]} /\!/ U(1) \\ &\cong \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-)/U(1) \cup \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+) \cup \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_+)/U(1) \\ &\cong \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_-} \cup \phi^{-1}(\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+) \cup \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2.4. *For any $\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+ \in (0, \infty)$, $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$ is a Hausdorff topological space. For any $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$ with $\langle d, [\omega_K] \rangle < c$ is compact.*

Proof. Immediate from properness of ϕ from the previous theorem. □

5.3. Structure of the fixed point sets.

Lemma 5.3.1. *The projection of the fixed point set $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)^{U(1)}$ to $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\epsilon$ consists of reducible vortices.*

Proof. $(P, \tilde{A}, u)$ is fixed up to gauge transformation by $U(1)$, then there exists a $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}(P)$ such that $\exp(i\theta)(P, \tilde{A}, u) = \exp(i\theta\zeta)(P, \tilde{A}, u)$. Thus the underlying vortex (P, A, u) is fixed by $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}(P)$. □

As we explained in Section 4.6, reducible vortices are vortices for small structure group. We say that n-marked *ζ-fixed vortex* is a datum $(P, C, \underline{z}, u)$ where P is a principal K_ζ-bundle and $u : C \to P(X)$ is a stable map fixed up to isomorphism by the automorphism induced by ζ. Let $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\epsilon$ denote the moduli space of ζ-fixed ϵ-vortices, up to K_ζ-gauge equivalence. Inclusion of K_ζ in K induces a map

$$\iota_\zeta : \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\epsilon \to \overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)^{U(1)}$$

which by the discussion above is surjective as ζ and ϵ vary. (Compare with Lemma 2.3.3.) However, the maps ι_ζ are certainly not injective: equivalence under the action of the group of gauge transformations of $P(K)$ does not imply equivalence under the group of gauge transformations of P. Instead, let $W_\zeta \subset W$ denote the Weyl group of K_ζ, or equivalently, the stabilizer of ζ under the adjoint action of W.

Lemma 5.3.2. *ι_ζ has fiber isomorphic to W/W_ζ.*

Proof. The fiber is the set of K_ζ-vortices inducing the same K-vortex; the set of K-gauge transformations which preserve the set of K_ζ-vortices is the space of gauge transformations with values in the normalizer $N(K_\zeta)$, and the result follows from the isomorphism $N(K_\zeta)/K_\zeta \cong W/W_\zeta$. □

5.4. **Stable polarized gauged maps.** In this section we develop an algebraic interpretation of the moduli spaces of polarized vortices studied in the previous section. The Chern-Simons line bundle descends to a topological line bundle over the moduli stack of bundles $\operatorname{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathrm{pt}/G)$, where it is well-known to be equivalent to some (possibly fractional) tensor power of the determinant line bundle $\det(V) = \det(Rp_* e^* V[1])$ associated to a G-module V, see [56].

Definition 5.4.1. A *pre-stable polarized gauged map* is a datum (P, δ, C, u) consisting of a prestable gauged map (P, C, u) over a scheme S and a lift δ of P to the total space of $\det(V)$.

We denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ the category of polarized gauged maps with n markings.

Proposition 5.4.2. *$\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ is an Artin $\mathbb{C}^*$-stack. Its stable locus is equipped with a canonical $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant perfect obstruction theory.*

Proof. The first claim is by minor modifications of the argument of Theorem 4.4.2. The obstruction theory is defined as before, first relative to the stack $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_n(\Sigma, \mathrm{pt})$ of prestable polarized gauged maps with target pt/G and then by competing the exact triangle in involving the cotangent complex to $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}_n(\Sigma, \mathrm{pt})$, as in [27, Appendix]. The stability condition implies that its restriction to the stable locus is perfect. □

We now define proper Deligne-Mumford $\mathbb{C}^*$-stacks whose circle actions give rise to the wall-crossing formula. For any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda$ denote the stack of polarized gauged maps whose underlying gauged map is λ-semistable, and $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)^*_\lambda$ the substack consisting of data (P, δ, C, u) with $\delta \in \det(V)$ non-zero. Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda(\mathbb{C}) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)^*_\lambda \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^\vee) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)^*_\lambda \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C}^\vee,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^\vee$ denotes the one-dimensional representation with weight -1. For simplicity, we assume that (ϵ_-, ϵ_+) contains a single critical set with vortex parameter λ, containing a single fixed point component corresponding to ζ-fixed vortices with structure group $K_\zeta \subset K$. Consider the open embeddings $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_j} \to \overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda$ whose complement is the closed substack of bundles that are $\epsilon_\pm$ stable but not $\epsilon_\mp$-stable. Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} := \overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_-}(\mathbb{C}) \cup_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma,X)_\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_+}(\mathbb{C}^\vee). \tag{39}$$

$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ admits a canonical morphism to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda$, defined by forgetting the determinant lift, and using that any $\epsilon_\pm$-semistable is also λ-semistable. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\lambda$ the stack of gauged maps to X which are fixed by the action of the automorphism induced by ζ, and by

$$\iota_\zeta : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\lambda \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda$$

the map induced by the inclusion $G_\zeta \to G$, that is, $(P, C, u) \mapsto (P(G), C, u)$ where we identify $(P(G))(X) := P \times_{G_\zeta} G \times_G X$ with $P(X) := P \times_{G_\zeta} X$.

Theorem 5.4.3. *Suppose that all automorphism groups of polarized vortices with parameter in $[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$ are finite. Then $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack with coarse moduli space homeomorphic to the moduli space $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ of polarized vortices. The $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ has fixed point components*

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)^{\mathbb{C}^*}_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_-} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\epsilon_+} \cup \iota_\zeta(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\lambda). \tag{40}$$

The normal complex of ι_ζ (whose image is the third component above) is the ζ-moving part $Rp_*e^*T(X/G)^{\neq 0}$ of $Rp_*e^*T(X/G)$.

Proof. The homeomorphism with the coarse moduli space follows the similar result for the moduli space of gauged maps Theorem 4.4.7, and we will give only a sketch. Consider the cut space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} := (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X) \times \mathbb{P}^1_{-[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}) /\!\!/ U(1).$$

The action of $\mathcal{G}(P) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X)$ descends to an action on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ and the action of $\mathcal{K}(P) \times U(1)$ is Hamiltonian with moment map

$$[\tilde{A}, u, x] \mapsto (\phi(\tilde{A})F_A + u^*P(\Phi)\,\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma, \phi(\tilde{A})).$$

The same argument as in Mundet (carrying along the determinant lifts) shows that the Hilbert-Mumford criterion holds in this case, that is, $[\tilde{A}, u, z]$ is $\mathcal{G}(P)$-equivalent to a solution to

$$\phi(\tilde{A})F_A + u^*P(\Phi)\,\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma = 0 \tag{41}$$

iff $[\tilde{A}, u, x]$ is stable for all one-parameter subgroups, that is, the limiting value $(\phi(\tilde{A})F_A + u^*P(\Phi)\operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma, \xi)$ under the flow of $\exp(-it\xi)$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}(P)$ is non-positive. Suppose that $\phi(\tilde{A}) = \epsilon_\pm$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P,X)_{\epsilon_\pm} \cong \mathcal{H}(P,X)$, equipped with vortex parameter $\epsilon_\pm$, so the stability condition is that of the underlying vortex for parameter $\epsilon_\pm$. On the other hand, suppose that $\phi(\tilde{A}) \in (\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+)$. Consider the one-parameter subgroup generated by $i\xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}(P)$. Without loss of generality suppose that $\int_\Sigma (F_A, \xi)$ is non-negative. Since the lift of the action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ has vertical component $\int_\Sigma (F_A, \xi)$, the flow of $\exp(-i\xi t)$ decreases the norm of the determinant lift $\tilde{A}$, so that the limiting value of $\phi(\tilde{A})$ is ϵ_- or λ. Let $\operatorname{Gr}(A)$ denote the limiting connection; if the value is ϵ_-, then the limiting value $\int_\Sigma \epsilon_-(F_{\operatorname{Gr}(A)}, \xi) + \langle \operatorname{Gr}(u)^*\Phi, \xi \rangle$ is non-positive if and only if it is also non-positive for vortex parameter λ. (A change of sign results only from passing through a vortex parameter for which reducibles occur, and by assumption none such occur between ϵ_- and λ.) Thus the datum $[\tilde{A}, u, x]$ is stable iff it is stable for parameter λ. A similar discussion for the case with bubbles shows that (39) describes the stack of stable bundles in this sense; the homeomorphism to the coarse moduli space assigns to any pair (P, u, δ) the corresponding solution of (41). That this map is a homeomorphism follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.4.7, and will be omitted. Properness of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ follows since the coarse moduli space $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ is compact and Hausdorff. The claim on virtual normal bundles follows from the definition of the perfect obstruction theories. □

For the purposes of applying virtual localization later we will need the following:

Lemma 5.4.4. *$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ admits an embedding in a non-singular Deligne-Mumford stack.*

Proof. First we show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\lambda$ embeds in a non-singular Deligne-Mumford stack. Choose a degree d, and consider the substack $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ of maps of degree d. Choose an Artin chart R/H for the moduli stack of bundles, such that every bundle in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\lambda$ appears in R/H; such an R/H exists since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$ is proper. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\lambda$ is naturally an open substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times R(X))/H$. Choose an embedding of $\Sigma \times R(X)$ into some $\mathbb{P}^N$; then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\lambda$ is an embedded substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^N)/H$. The open subset where H acts with finite stabilizer is naturally a (non-separated) non-singular Deligne-Mumford stack [35, Appendix]. The proof for $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ is similar, using the fact that the determinant line bundle can be written as an H-quotient of a line bundle on R, see [61], [57]. □

5.5. **Polarized gauged Gromov-Witten invariants.** The evaluation morphism $\operatorname{ev}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{fr}}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} \to X^n$ is G^n-equivariant and $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant and induces a map

$$\operatorname{ev}^* : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \to H_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Similarly the forgetful morphism is $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant and so induces a map

$$f^* : H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Q}) \to H_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Given a collection $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in H_G(X)^n$ a class $\beta \in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma))$ and an interval $[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$ such that every polarized vortex with parameter in (ϵ_-, ϵ_+), and every vortex with parameters $\epsilon_\pm$ has finite automorphism group, the *polarized gauged Gromov-Witten invariant* is

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} = \int_{[\overline{L}_n(\Sigma,X,d)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}]} \operatorname{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \in \mathbb{Q}[\xi].$$

Here ξ is the equivariant parameter for the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action induced by scalar multiplication on $\det(V)$. Define *polarized correlators*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^n_{X,G,[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} &: QH_G(X)^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)) \to \Lambda_X^G[\xi] \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \sum_{d \in H_2^G(X)} e^d \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.5.1. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective G-variety, and $[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]$ such that every element of $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ has finite automorphism group. Then the invariants $\tau^n_{X,G,[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ define a cohomological trace on the cohomological field theory $GW_G(X)$ of Theorem 3.2.3 with values in $\Lambda_X^G[\xi]$.*

The proof is similar that of Theorem 4.5.3. Namely, the boundary divisors for $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]}$ are of the form

$$\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\text{fr}}_{n-|I|+1}(\Sigma, X, d_1)_{[\epsilon_-,\epsilon_+]} \times_X \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,|I|+1}(X, d_2) \right) / G^{n-|I|+1}$$

with $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $d_1 + d_2 = d$. This description and the compatibility of the perfect obstruction theories as in Behrend [4] give the splitting axiom (20), as in the proof of Theorem 4.5.3.

Similarly for any $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}$ consider the stack of ζ-fixed maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\epsilon$. The same considerations as before give rise to a perfect obstruction theory on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, \zeta)_\epsilon$, assuming each ζ-fixed vortex has a finite automorphism group. Define a *ζ-fixed gauged Gromov-Witten invariant*

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle^{\text{twist}}_{\zeta, d_\zeta, \epsilon} = \int_{[\overline{M}_n(\Sigma,X,\zeta,d_\zeta)_\epsilon]} \operatorname{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \wedge \operatorname{Eul}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(Rp_* e^* T(X/G)^{\neq 0}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta].$$

However, these do not form a cohomological trace because the bubble components are constrained to lie in the fixed point set of ζ on the moduli space of stable maps.

5.6. **Wall-crossing formulae.** Suppose that every polarized vortex with parameter in (ϵ_-, ϵ_+) and every vortex with parameter ϵ_- or ϵ_+ has finite automorphism group. The wall-crossing formula of Section 2.3 applied to the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$ (using virtual localization of Graber-Pandharipande [27] and the embedding Lemma 5.4.4) yield

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon_+} - \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon_-} = \operatorname{Res}_\xi \left(\sum_F \int_{[F]} \iota_F^* (\operatorname{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta) \wedge \operatorname{Eul}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\nu_F)^{-1} \right) \tag{42}$$

where F ranges over the fixed point components of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$, with value of ϕ between ϵ_- and ϵ_+, and ξ denotes the equivariant parameter for the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. By Section 5.3 and (40), the fixed point locus is the union of images of the stacks of ζ-fixed vortices as ζ ranges over generators of one-parameter subgroups. We denote by

$$\rho_G^{G_\zeta} : H_\bullet^{G_\zeta}(X) \to H_\bullet^G(X), \quad \rho_G^{G_\zeta} : H_G^\bullet(X) \to H_G^\bullet(X)$$

the map in equivariant homology resp. cohomology induced by the inclusion of G_ζ in G. We write $d_\zeta \mapsto d$ if $d \in H_2^G(X)$ is the image of $d_\zeta \in H_2^{G_\zeta}(X)$.

Theorem 5.6.1 (Wall-crossing for gauged Gromov-Witten invariants)**.** *Suppose that ϵ_-, ϵ_+ are as above. Then*

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon_+} - \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon_-} = \sum_{\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}} \sum_{d_\zeta \mapsto d} \sum_{\epsilon \in (\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+)} \operatorname{Res}_\zeta \frac{|W_\zeta|}{|W|} \langle \rho_{G_\zeta}^G \alpha; \beta \rangle^{\text{twist}}_{\zeta, d_\zeta, \epsilon}. \tag{43}$$

Proof. By (42) and the description of the fixed point sets (40). ☐

In good cases, the above wall-crossing formula gives a closed formula for the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants as a sum of fixed point contributions. We start with the

Lemma 5.6.2. *Suppose that P is not trivializable. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\overline{M}_n(P, X)_\epsilon$ is empty for $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$*

Proof. Since P is not trivializable, there exists a constant c such that any connection A on P has curvature F_A with $\|F_A\|_{L_2} > c$, see [2], [17]. Choose ϵ_0 so that $\epsilon_0^{-1} \sup \Phi$ is smaller than c; the vortex equation $F_A + \epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi)$ has no solutions for $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$. ☐

Definition 5.6.3. A degree $d \in H_2^G(X)$ is *strictly equivariant* if its image under the map $H_2^G(X) \to H_2(BG)$ is non-zero.

For any vortex (P, A, u) with strictly equivariant degree d, the first Chern class $c_1(P)$ and hence the bundle P are non-trivial. The wall-crossing formula in this case gives a formula for the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of twisted invariants for smaller structure group:

Corollary 5.6.4. *Suppose that X, α, β, d are as in the statement of the wall-crossing formula Theorem 5.6.1. If d is strictly equivariant, then for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$,*

$$\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon_0} = \sum_{\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}} \sum_{d_\zeta \mapsto d} \sum_{\epsilon > \epsilon_0} \frac{|W_\zeta|}{|W|} \operatorname{Res}_\zeta \langle \rho_{G_\zeta}^G \alpha; \beta \rangle^{\text{twist}}_{\zeta, d_\zeta, \epsilon}.$$

5.7. **The large area limit.** Elsewhere we hope to explain the relationship between the large area limit of the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants to the Gromov-Witten invariants of the quotient $X/\!\!/G$, generalizing the results of Gaio-Salamon [21]. Here we only establish that such a limit exists.

Lemma 5.7.1. *Suppose that $X/\!\!/G$ is a locally free quotient. Then for each $d \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, the set of values ϵ such that $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X, d)_\epsilon$ contains a reducible is finite.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise, so that (A_ν, u_ν) is a sequence of ϵ_ν vortices of constant degree, with infinitesimal automorphism ζ_ν. Since there are only finitely many automorphism groups of vortices, we may assume that ζ_ν is constant in ν. Suppose first that $\epsilon_\nu \to 0$. Then by the results of Gaio-Salamon [21] and Ziltener [72, Lemma D.3], the sequence (A_ν, u_ν) converges after gauge transformation and passing to a subsequence to a map $u_\infty : \Sigma \to X/\!\!/G$ uniformly in all derivatives on the complement of a finite set. But since (A_ν, u_ν) is ζ-fixed, so will be u_∞, which is a contradiction. A similar argument in the case that $\epsilon_\nu \to 0$, using the results of [24], shows that in this case (A_ν, u_ν) converges to a map $u_\infty : \Sigma \to X$ with average moment map zero, fixed by ζ, which is also impossible under the locally free assumption. □

Presumably the conclusion of the lemma is also true without the locally free assumption, but we do not see how to prove it. Next consider the sum over homology classes,

$$\tau^n_{X,G,\epsilon} : QH_G(X,\mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma)) \to \Lambda^G_X, \quad (\alpha,\beta) \mapsto \sum_{d \in H_2^G(X,\mathbb{Z})} e^d \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon}.$$

Corollary 5.7.2. *If $X/\!\!/G$ is a locally free quotient, then $\tau^n_{X,G,\epsilon}$ has a formal limit $\tau^n_{X,G,\infty}$ as $\epsilon \to \infty$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.7.1, in each degree the invariants $\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\epsilon}$ are constant for ϵ sufficiently small. □

Note that as $\epsilon \to 0$ and $d \to \infty$, infinitely many terms appear in the wall-crossing formula, corresponding to increasingly large first Chern classes. It would be interesting to compare this phenomenon with the "affine symmetry" studied in [63].

5.8. **Regular polarized vortices.** We can give a symplectic definition of the polarized vortex invariants, in the case that every polarized vortex satisfies a certain regularity condition. The linearized operator for a polarized vortex $(\tilde{A}, u)$ with $\rho = \phi(\tilde{A})$ is the operator

$$(44) \quad \tilde{D}_{\tilde{A},u} : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\mathrm{vert}}(P(X))),$$
$$(a,\delta,\xi) \mapsto (\mathrm{d}_{\tilde{A},u}(a,\xi,\delta), \mathrm{d}^*_{A,u}(a,\xi), D_{A,u}(a,\xi))$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}_{\tilde{A},u}(a,\delta,\xi) := d_{A,u}(a,\xi) + \delta F_A. \tag{45}$$

A polarized vortex is *regular* if $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{A},u}$ is surjective. Let $L(P,X)$ denote the moduli space of polarized vortices. That is, $L(P,X)$ is the symplectic quotient

$$L(P,X) = \{(\tilde{A},u) \mid \phi(\tilde{A})F_A + \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma u^*\Phi = 0, \ \ \overline{\partial}_A u = 0\}/\mathcal{K}(P)$$

$L(P,X,d)$ the component of homology class $d \in H_2^K(X,\mathbb{Z})$, and $L^{\mathrm{reg}}(P,X,d) \subset L(P,X,d)$ the locus of stable regular polarized vortices.

Theorem 5.8.1. *$L^{\mathrm{reg}}(P,X,d)$ has the structure of a smooth, finite dimensional manifold with dimension*

$$\dim L^{\mathrm{reg}}(P,X) = 2((1-g)\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) + (c_1(TX),d) - \dim(K) + 1).$$

The proof is essentially the same as that for regular stable vortices, using the implicit function theorem for Banach spaces for the map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{A},u}(\tilde{a},\xi) = (\phi(\tilde{A}+\tilde{a})F_{A+a} + \mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma \exp_u(\xi)^* P(\Phi), \mathrm{d}^*_{\tilde{A},u}(a,\xi), \Theta_u(\xi)^{-1}\overline{\partial}_{A+a}\exp_u(\xi))$$

whose linearization is $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{A},u}$; here $\tilde{a} = (a,\xi)$ is an element of $T_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P)) \cong \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))\times \mathbb{C}$, and $\Theta_u(\xi)$ denotes parallel transport using the modified Levi-Civita connection described in [46].

The linearized operator $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{A},u}$ for a nodal polarized vortex is obtained from $\tilde{D}_{A,u}$ by replacing the operator d_{A,u_0} with $\mathrm{d}_{\tilde{A},u_0}$ of (45). $(\tilde{A},u)$ is *regular* if $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{A},u}$ is surjective. The *space of infinitesimal deformations of fixed type* $\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(\tilde{A},u)$ is the quotient of $\ker(\tilde{D}_{\tilde{A},u})$ by the image of the space $\mathrm{aut}(C)$ of infinitesimal automorphisms of the rooted curve C. The *space of infinitesimal deformations* $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{A},u)$ is the sum

$$\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{A},u) = \mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(\tilde{A},u) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} T_{w_j^+}C \otimes T_{w_j^-}C.$$

where the second factor is the space of "gluing parameters".

The subset $\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma,X)$ of stable regular polarized vortices admits the structure of an oriented stratified-smooth topological orbifold and, non-canonically, a C^1-orbifold. The proof uses the gluing construction of [25]. Namely, for any polarized vortex $(\tilde{A},u)$ the gluing construction of [25] modified to include the lifts gives rise to maps

$$\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{A},u)_\rho/\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{A},u) \to \overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma,X),$$

where $\mathrm{Def}(\tilde{A},u)_\rho \subset \mathrm{Def}(\tilde{A},u)$ is a ball of some radius ρ around 0. The rigidification construction of [25] shows that these maps are homeomorphisms onto their images, hence define orbifold charts for $\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma,X)$. Stratified-smooth compatibility and C^1-compatibility using the exponential gluing profile follow from differentiability of the evaluation map in these local coordinates, see [25].

Evaluation at the marked points defines equivariant evaluation maps

$$\mathrm{ev}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(\Sigma, X) \to X^n.$$

If $\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X) \to \overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X)$ is a principal K^n-bundle then combining this with a classifying map gives an evaluation map $\mathrm{ev} : \overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X) \to X_K^n$ by the same argument as in Section 4.5. More generally, if $\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X) \to \overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X)$ is an orbifold principal K^n-bundle then we obtain a classifying map on the classifying space $B\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)$ of the orbifold $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)$, and hence a map $\mathrm{ev} : B\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X) \to X_K^n$ and a pull-back map in cohomology

$$\mathrm{ev}^* : H_K(X)^n \to H(B\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H(\overline{L}_n^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Sigma, X), \mathbb{Q}).$$

Relaxed regular polarized vortices are defined similarity, and allow to achieve relaxed regularity for all polarized vortices if the target X is convex. Under the regularity assumptions the wall-crossing formula then follows from localization for the $U(1)$-action on $\overline{L}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\epsilon_-, \epsilon_+]}$.

6. ABELIANIZATION

The *abelianization* or *quantum Martin conjecture* of Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim [8] relates the Gromov-Witten invariants of a symplectic resp. geometric invariant theory quotient of X by K resp. G with the twisted Gromov-Witten invariants of the quotient by the maximal torus T; we denote by the same notation the complex torus and assume both quotients by G and T are free. Consider the canonical map $H_2^T(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Any element $d_T \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ in the preimage of $d_G \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a *lift* of d_G. In particular, a class $d_T \in H_2(X/\!\!/T)$ is a lift of $d_G \in H_2(X/\!\!/G)$ if the image of d_T in $H_2^T(X)$ maps to the image of d_G in $H_2^G(X)$. If so, we write $d_T \mapsto d_G$. For X a G-variety, and V a T-representation let $V/\!\!/T := \Phi_T^{-1}(0) \times_T V$ denote the associated vector bundle over $X/\!\!/T$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}/\!\!/T \to X/\!\!/T$ is the sum of the line bundles associated to the roots. Motivated by a conjecture of Hori and Vafa [31, Appendix] relating Gromov-Witten invariants of the Grassmannian with those of products of projective spaces, Bertram-Ciocan-Fontanine-Kim [8] conjecture the following

Conjecture 6.0.2 (Quantum Martin formula)**.** *For any classes $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in H_G(X)^n$ and $\beta \in H(\overline{M}_{0,n})$, the Gromov-Witten invariants for $X/\!\!/T$ and $X/\!\!/G$ are related by*

$$\langle \kappa_G(\alpha); \beta \rangle_{X/\!\!/G, d_G} = |W|^{-1} \sum_{d_T \to d_G} \langle \kappa_T(\rho_T^G \alpha); \beta \rangle_{X/\!\!/T, d_T, (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})/\!\!/T}.$$

As we explain elsewhere, we believe that this conjecture holds only after suitable "quantum Kirwan corrections" for arbitrary X. However, for gauged Gromov-Witten invariants we expect that the conjecture is true as stated.

Theorem 6.0.3 (Abelianization for gauged Gromov-Witten invariants)**.** *Suppose that X is a generic smooth projective G-variety. For any vortex parameter $\epsilon \in$*

$(0,\infty)$ *such that the moduli stacks* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma,X,G)_\epsilon$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma,X,T)_\epsilon$ *have only finite automorphism groups,* $d_G \in H_2^K(X)$*, and* $\alpha \in H_G(X)^n, \beta \in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma))$*, we have*

$$\langle \alpha;\beta\rangle_{G,\epsilon,d_G} = |W|^{-1} \sum_{d_T \mapsto d_G} \langle \rho_T^G \alpha;\beta\rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{T,\epsilon,d_T}$$

where the twist is by the index bundle associated to the T*-representation* $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$*.*

The proof proceeds via a more general conjecture regarding ζ-fixed gauged Gromov-Witten invariants. Namely, for any sequence $\underline{\zeta} = (\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3,\ldots,\zeta_k) \in \mathfrak{t}^k$ of Lie algebra vectors as in the previous section, let $\langle\alpha;\beta\rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{\underline{\zeta},\epsilon,d_{G_{\zeta_k}}}$ denote the iterated ζ-fixed gauged Gromov-Witten invariant of the previous section, obtained by recursively taking fixed points under the various $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions generated by the ζ_j, c.f. Guillemin-Kalkman [28, Section 4] for the formula in the finite dimensional case.

Theorem 6.0.4 (Abelianization for twisted gauged Gromov-Witten invariants)**.** *Suppose that* X *is a generic smooth projective* G*-variety. For any vortex parameter* $\epsilon \in (0,\infty)$ *such that the moduli spaces* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma,X^{\underline{\zeta}},G_{\zeta_k},d_{\zeta_k})_\epsilon$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma,X^{\underline{\zeta}},T,d_T)_\epsilon$ *have only finite automorphism groups for all* $d_T \mapsto d_{\zeta_k}$*,* $d_{\zeta_k} \in H_2^{G_{\zeta_k}}(X)$*, and* $\alpha \in H_G(X)^n, \beta \in H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma))$*, we have*

$$\langle \rho^G_{G_{\zeta_k}}\alpha;\beta\rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{\underline{\zeta},\epsilon,d_{G_{\zeta_k}}} = |W_{\zeta_k}|^{-1} \sum_{d_T \mapsto d_{G_{\zeta_k}}} \langle \rho_T^G\alpha;\beta\rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{\underline{\zeta},\epsilon,d_T}. \tag{46}$$

Proof. The equality holds for the chamber of sufficiently large ϵ by the results of [24] (or in the strictly equivariant case, since both sides are zero.) The proof for arbitrary ϵ is by induction on $\dim(G_{\zeta_k}/T)$, by comparing the wall-crossing terms in Corollary 5.6.4. Clearly the equality holds for $G_{\zeta_k} = T$. Next, suppose that the equality holds for all groups $G_{\zeta_k'}$ with $\dim(G_{\zeta_k'}/T) < \dim(G_{\zeta_k}/T)$. It follows from the inductive hypothesis that the equality (46) holds for the fixed point contributions in Corollary 5.6.4, hence for $\langle\alpha;\beta\rangle^{\mathrm{twist}}_{\underline{\zeta},\epsilon,d_{G_{\zeta_k}}}$ □

References

[1] Harder-Narasimhan and Jordan-Hölder theory for symplectic vortices. In preparation.

[2] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 308:523–615, 1982.

[3] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The moment map and equivariant cohomology. *Topology*, 23(1):1–28, 1984.

[4] K. Behrend. Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 127(3):601–617, 1997.

[5] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.

[6] K. Behrend and Yu. Manin. Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 85(1):1–60, 1996.

[7] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, volume 298 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992.

[8] Aaron Bertram, Ionuţ Ciocan-Fontanine, and Bumsig Kim. Gromov-Witten invariants for abelian and nonabelian quotients. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17(2):275–294, 2008.

[9] Michel Brion. Poincaré duality and equivariant (co)homology. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:77–92, 2000. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[10] K. Cieliebak, A. Rita Gaio, I. Mundet i Riera and D. A. Salamon. The symplectic vortex equations and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 1(3):543–645, 2002.

[11] Kai Cieliebak, Ana Rita Gaio, and Dietmar A. Salamon. J-holomorphic curves, moment maps, and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (16):831–882, 2000.

[12] Kai Cieliebak, Ignasi Mundet i Riera, and Dietmar A. Salamon. Equivariant moduli problems, branched manifolds, and the Euler class. *Topology*, 42(3):641–700, 2003.

[13] Kai Cieliebak and Dietmar Salamon. Wall crossing for symplectic vortices and quantum cohomology. *Math. Ann.*, 335(1):133–192, 2006.

[14] Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine, Bumsig Kim, and Claude Sabbah. The abelian/nonabelian correspondence and frobenius manifolds, 2006. arXiv:math/0610265.

[15] Tom Coates and Alexander Givental. Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):15–53, 2007.

[16] B. Conrad. Keel-Mori theorem for stacks. available on the author's web-page.

[17] S. K. Donaldson. A new proof of a theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(2):269–277, 1983.

[18] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Algebraic cuts. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(3):677–685, 1998.

[19] A. J. Tolland Edward Frenkel, Constantin Teleman. Gromov-Witten gauge theory I. arXiv:0904.4834.

[20] Geir Ellingsrud and Lothar Göttsche. Wall-crossing formulas, the Bott residue formula and the Donaldson invariants of rational surfaces. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 49(195):307–329, 1998.

[21] Ana Rita Pires Gaio and Dietmar A. Salamon. Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic quotients and adiabatic limits. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 3(1):55–159, 2005.

[22] A. B. Givental. Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, (13):613–663, 1996.

[23] E. Gonzalez, A. Ott, C. Woodward, and F. Ziltener. Symplectic vortices with fixed holonomy. in preparation.

[24] E. Gonzalez and C. Woodward. Gauged Gromov-Witten theory for small spheres. 2008 preprint.

[25] E. Gonzalez and C. Woodward. A gluing construction for symplectic vortices and holomorphic spheres. 2008 preprint.

[26] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson. Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 131(1):25–83, 1998.

[27] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande. Localization of virtual classes. *Invent. Math.*, 135(2):487–518, 1999.

[28] V. Guillemin and J. Kalkman. The Jeffrey-Kirwan localization theorem and residue operations in equivariant cohomology. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, pages 123–142, 1996.

[29] V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. With an appendix containing two reprints by Henri Cartan [MR **13**,107e; MR **13**,107f].

[30] T. Holm and R. Sjamaar. Torsion and abelianization in equivariant cohomology. math/0607069.

[31] K. Hori and C. Vafa. Mirror symmetry. hep-th/0002222.

[32] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. Framed modules and their moduli. *Internat. J. Math.*, 6(2):297–324, 1995.

[33] Ignasi Mundet i Riera and Gang Tian. A compactification of the moduli space of twisted holomorphic maps. arXiv:math.SG/0404407.

[34] Eleny-Nicoleta Ionel and Thomas H. Parker. Relative Gromov-Witten invariants. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(1):45–96, 2003.

[35] Roy Joshua. Riemann-Roch for algebraic stacks. I. *Compositio Math.*, 136(2):117–169, 2003.

[36] J. Kalkman. Cohomology rings of symplectic quotients. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 485:37–52, 1995.

[37] G. Kempf and L. Ness. The length of vectors in representation spaces. In K. Lønsted, editor, *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 732 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 233–244, Copenhagen, 1978, 1979. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

[38] Young-Hoon Kiem and Jun Li. Virtual wall crossing formulas for C^*-flips, 2009. arXiv.org:0905.4770.

[39] F. C. Kirwan. *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, volume 31 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1984.

[40] M. Kontsevich. Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions. In *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, pages 335–368. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.

[41] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*, volume 39 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[42] E. Lerman. Symplectic cuts. *Math. Res. Letters*, 2:247–258, 1995.

[43] Peng Lu. A rigorous definition of fiberwise quantum cohomology and equivariant quantum cohomology. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 6(3):511–588, 1998.

[44] S. Martin. Symplectic quotients by a nonabelian group and by its maximal torus. math.SG/0001002.

[45] Dusa McDuff. Groupoids, branched manifolds and multisections. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 4(3):259–315, 2006.

[46] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, volume 52 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[47] E. Meinrenken and C. Woodward. Hamiltonian loop group actions and Verlinde factorization. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 50:417–470, 1999.

[48] J. Moser. On the volume elements on a manifold. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 120:286–294, 1965.

[49] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. A Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Kähler fibrations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 528:41–80, 2000.

[50] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariants. *Topology*, 42(3):525–553, 2003.

[51] L. Ness. A stratification of the null cone via the moment map. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(6):1281–1329, 1984. with an appendix by D. Mumford.

[52] Martin Olsson. Sheaves on Artin stacks. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 603:55–112, 2007.

[53] A. Ott. Gauged Gromov-Witten invariants for monotone Hamiltonian G-manifolds. 2009 preprint.

[54] Rahul Pandharipande. Rational curves on hypersurfaces (after A. Givental). *Astérisque*, (252):Exp. No. 848, 5, 307–340, 1998. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1997/98.

[55] T. R. Ramadas, I. Singer, and J. Weitsman. Some comments on Chern-Simons gauge theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 126:409–420, 1989.

[56] T. R. Ramadas, I. M. Singer, and J. Weitsman. Some comments on Chern-Simons gauge theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 126(2):409–420, 1989.

[57] A. Ramanathan. Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves. I. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 106(3):301–328, 1996.

[58] M. Romagny. Group actions on stacks and applications. *Mich. Math. J.*, 53(1):209–236, 2005.

[59] Yongbin Ruan and Gang Tian. Higher genus symplectic invariants and sigma models coupled with gravity. *Invent. Math.*, 130(3):455–516, 1997.

[60] G. Segal. Classifying spaces and spectral sequences. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No.*, 34:105–112, 1968.

[61] C. S. Seshadri. *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, volume 96 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982. Notes written by J.-M. Drezet from a course at the École Normale Supérieure, June 1980.

[62] Stephen S. Shatz. The decomposition and specialization of algebraic families of vector bundles. *Compositio Math.*, 35(2):163–187, 1977.

[63] C. Teleman and C. T. Woodward. The index formula on the moduli of G-bundles. math.AG/0312154, to appear in Annals. Math.

[64] M. Thaddeus. Geometric invariant theory and flips. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(3):691–723, 1996.

[65] M. Thaddeus. Variation of moduli of parabolic Higgs bundles. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 547:1–14, 2002.

[66] Tammo tom Dieck. *Transformation groups*, volume 8 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.

[67] Jan Wehrheim. Vortex invariants and toric manifolds. arXiv:0812.0299.

[68] K. Wehrheim and C.T. Woodward. Orientations for pseudoholomorphic quilts. 2008 preprint.

[69] Edward Witten. Topological sigma models. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 118(3):411–449, 1988.

[70] C. Woodward and F. Ziltener. Functoriality for Gromov-Witten invariants under symplectic quotients. 2008 preprint.

[71] Don Bernard Zagier. *Equivariant Pontrjagin classes and applications to orbit spaces. Applications of the G-signature theorem to transformation groups, symmetric products and number theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 290. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[72] F. Ziltener. Symplectic vortices on the complex plane. ETH Zurich 2006 Ph.D. thesis.

[73] Aleksey Zinger. Enumerative vs. symplectic invariants and obstruction bundles. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 2(4):445–543, 2004.

Department of Mathematics University of Massachusetts Boston 100 William T. Morrissey Boulevard Boston, MA 02125

E-mail address: `eduardo@math.umb.edu`

Mathematics-Hill Center, Rutgers University, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `ctw@math.rutgers.edu`